UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER AD821974 LIMITATION CHANGES TO: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. FROM: Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; MAY 1967. Other requests shall be referred to Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Washington, DC 20330. AUTHORITY AFOSR ltr 22 Dec 1970



SU-SEL-67-035

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

CENTER FOR SYSTEMS RESEARCH

Probability Densities for Diffusion Processes with Applications to Nonlinear Filtering Theory and Detection Theory

by

T. E. Duncan

May 1967

Systems Theory Laboratory

Technical Report No. 7001-4

Prepared under
Air Force Office of Scientific Research
Washington, D.C.
Contract AF49(638)-1517

and

Technical Report No. 7050-12

This work was supported in part by the Joint Services Electronics Programs U.S. Army, U.S. Navy, and U.S. Air Force Under Contract Plant 225(83) NR 273 360

THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH

PROBABILITY DENSITIES FOR DIFFUSION PROCESSES WITH APPLICATIONS TO NONLINEAR FILTERING THEORY AND DETECTION THEORY

Ву

T. E. Duncan

May 1967

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

Technical Report No. 7001-4

Prepared under
Air Force Office of Scientific Research
Washington, D.C.
Contract AF 49(638)-1517

and

Technical Report No. 7050-12

This work was supported in part by the Joint Services Electronics Programs (U. S. Army, U. S. Navy and U. S. Air Force) under Contract No. Nonr-225(83), NR 373 360.

Systems Theory Laboratory
Stanford Electronics Laboratories
Stanford University Stanford, California

ABSTRACT

Some problems in the filtering and the detection of diffusion processes that are solutions of stochastic differential equations are studied.

Transition densities for Markov process solutions of a large class of stochastic differential equations are shown to exist and to satisfy Kolmogorov's equations. These results extend previously known results by allowing the drift coefficient to be unbounded. With these results for transition densities the nonlinear filtering problem is discussed and the conditional probability of the state vector of the system conditioned on all the past observations is shown to exist and a stochastic equation is derived for the evolution in time of the conditional probability density. A stochastic differential equation is also obtained for the conditional moments. These derivations use directly the continuous time processes.

Necessary conditions that coincide with the previously known sufficient conditions for the absolute continuity of measures corresponding to solutions of stochastic differential equations are obtained. Applications are made to the detection of one diffusion process in another. Previous results on the relation between detection and filtering problems are rigorously obtained and extended.

CONTENTS

		<u> </u>	Page
I.	INT	RODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES	1
	A.	Description and History of the Problems	3
		 Nonlinear Filtering	3 4 4
	₿.	New Results	5
		 Nonlinear Filtering Theory Absolute Continuity of Measures Detection Theory 	5 5 6
	C.	Some Mathematical Techniques and Results	6
		 General Theory and Notation Theory of Stochastic Differential Equations 	6 12
		 a. Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions of Stochastic Differential Equations. b. Stochastic Differential Rule. c. Sufficient Conditions for the Absolute Continuity of Diffusion Processes. 	13
II.	TRAI	NSITION PROBABILITY DENSITIES FOR DIFFUSION PROCESSES	
	A. Existence and Differentiability of Transition Densities		22
		 Kolmogorov's Equations	24
		Equations	31
	₿.	Some Remarks	38
III.	THE	ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY OF MEASURES OF DIFFUSION PROCESSES	42
	Α.	Some Sufficient Conditions for Absolute Continuity	44
		1. Skorokhod's Results	
	В.	Characterization of the Density Function	48

				Page	
		1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Assumptions	50 52 56	
	C.	Nec Con	essary and Sufficient Conditions for Absolute tinuity	62	
IV.	THE	CON	DITIONAL PROBABILITY DENSITY	69	
	A_{ullet}	Int	roduction and Main Result	69	
	₿.	Pro	of of the Main Result	72	
		 2. 3. 	An expression for the Conditional Probability Measure	79	
	C.	Con	ditional Moments	90	
v.	EVAI	LUAT	ION OF LIKELIHOOD FUNCTIONS	97	
	A.	A D	iffusion Process in White Noise	97	
		1. 2.	A Differential Equation for the Likelihood Function		
	B.	A D	iffusion Process in Correlated Noise	105	
		2. 3.	Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for Nonsingular Detection	111	
	REFI	ERENCES			

SYMBOLS

```
a(t,x_t)
                   drift vector
                   transpose of A
b(t,x<sub>t</sub>)
                   diffusion matrix
B(t,ω)
              vector Brownian motion
\widetilde{\mathbb{B}}(t,\omega)
          vector Brownian motion
B(x_u, u \le t) Borel \sigma-algebra generated by \{x_u, u \le t\}
               B(B_u, 0 \le u \le t)
\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{\pm}
                  symmetric matrix
C<sub>n</sub>[s,t]
           n dimensional space of continuous functions on [s,t]
E(•)
               expectation
E(• | •)
                  conditional expectation
                   expectation with respect to the measure \;\mu_{X}
F
                   Borel \sigma-algebra on \Omega
                sub-σ-algebra of δ
\mathfrak{F}_{\pm}
g(t,x_t,y_t) drift vector
g_{t}
                   sub-o-algebra
h(t,y<sub>t</sub>)
                   diffusion matrix
                   indicator function for the set \Lambda
I
                   differential operator
                   space of absolutely integrable functions
                   space of essentially bounded functions
M_{+}
                   Radon-Nikodym derivative
p(s,y;t,x)
                  transition density for a Markov process
                  transition density for \{x_{t}\}
p_{X}
```

P _Y	transition density for {yt}
p(• •)	conditional probability density
P	probability measure on Ω
P(• •)	conditional probability measure
^q t	normalizing term
r(• •)	unnormalized conditional probability density
$\boldsymbol{\mathscr{R}}^{\mathbf{n}}$	Euclidean n-space
я [†]	positive real half line, [0,∞)
x(t,ω)	vector stochastic process
y(t,ω)	vector stochastic process
α	initial condition
$\widehat{\lambda(x_t)}$	conditional expectation of λ
Λ _t	likelihood function
$^{\mu}{}_{ m X}$	measure in function space for $\{x_t\}$
μ_{Υ}	measure in function space for $\{y_t^{}\}$
π_0 , π_1	prior probabilities
ρ _X	measure in function space for $\{x_t^{}\}$
$^{ ho}\mathtt{Y}$	measure in function space for $\{y_t\}$
$\sigma(L^1,L^\infty)$	weak topology
$\phi_{ t t}$	Radon-Nikodym derivative
Φ(t,ω)	vector random function
$\Psi_{ t t}$	exponential functional
⊗	product space
€	direct sum
<<	absolute continuity relation

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to acknowledge specifically some people who assisted in this research. Appreciation is expressed to Professor T. Kailath for his interest and guidance and for his suggestions of the communication problems studied in this work, to Professor E. Parzen for his interest and encouragement and for his helpful suggestions on the presentation of the material and to Professor O. Buneman for his careful reading of this work. Thanks are expressed to Professor R.E. Mortensen of UCLA for some helpful discussions in the beginning of this research and to Professor S. Watanabe of Kyoto University for generously giving his time for numerous discussions about the mathematical theory involved in this work. Thanks are also expressed to Miss Barbara Serrano for the excellent typing of this work and for her assistance throughout this research.

The author also gratefully acknowledges the financial support received from Professor B. Lange and from Professor T. Kailath.

I. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In this thesis we shall study some filtering and some detection problems described by stochastic processes. These problem descriptions have wide applications in physical problems because many physical phenomena can be modeled by stochastic processes.

For satellite orbit tracking and prediction problems, filtering has been effectively used to obtain "good" estimates of the satellite orbits from the noisy data received from the satellites by the ground stations. Missile and satellite guidance problems typically involve noisy measurements from the various sensors and filtering theory has been useful in improving guidance performance.

Many communication problems involve a signal corrupted by noise.

This signal corruption can occur, for example, by the thermal noise in transmitters and receivers or by the properties of the medium through which the signal is transmitted. To obtain a "good" estimate of the signal, the received data must be filtered. A particular type of communication problem is feedback communication, for example, the communication from a ground station to a satellite and back to the ground station embodies the feedback principle. Filtering can be shown to provide a scheme to use this feedback communication channel in an optimal manner.

Chemical processes can often be modeled by stochastic processes where noisy measurements of the operations are obtained and filtering theory can be used to obtain "good" estimates of the operations. Some interest has developed for applying filtering techniques to models of economic systems which include random behavior. Identification problems where some of the system parameters are random can be solved by applying

filtering theory to obtain "good" estimates of these random parameters.

In many stochastic optimal control problems the state vector of the system is described by a stochastic process and the observations made on the system are described by a stochastic process which is a function of the state stochastic process and noise. The control problem is to control the state of the system optimally (given a performance criterion) using the observations. These stochastic optimal control problems form a large class of physically important problems. The conditional probability of the state given all the past observations, which is obtained for the filtering problem, is the fundamental tool for determining the optimal control to be used because the conditional probability represents our probabilistic knowledge of the state of the system. The filtering solution with the conditional probability represents a major step to solving the stochastic optimal control problem.

Determining whether received data contain a signal and noise or merely noise has many applications particularly in radar problems where a signal is sent and then the received data are checked to determine whether the data contain a reflected signal and noise or only noise. To make the decision in an optimal manner between the two hypotheses that the data contain signal and noise or that the data contain noise we apply some results from statistical decision theory and calculate a likelihood function. This likelihood function determined from the data is then compared with a threshold to indicate the hypothesis to choose. For applications it is useful to be able to calculate this likelihood function recursively, i.e., to obtain a differential equation for the evolution in time of the likelihood function. This recursive form for the likeli-

hood function can often be obtained by applying some results from filtering theory.

Before analyzing the filtering and the detection problems in depth we shall discuss some of the history of these problems indicating the results that have been obtained, describe the results that we shall obtain and describe some of the mathematical techniques and results that will be used in analyzing the filtering and the detection problems.

A. DESCRIPTION AND HISTORY OF THE PROBLEMS

1. Nonlinear Filtering

The filtering problem of estimating one stochastic process given observations of a related stochastic process has received attention in both engineering and mathematics. Kalman and Bucy [Ref. 1] modeling the stochastic processes by linear differential equations with white noise inputs obtained a simple recursive solution to the linear filtering problem. The obvious extension of their work to a filtering problem with nonlinear differential equations (i.e., the nonlinear filtering problem) has been discussed by a number of authors, Stratonovich [Ref. 2], Kashyap [Ref. 3], Kushner [Refs, 4,5,6], Bucy [Ref. 7], and Mortensen [Ref. 8]. The original studies on this topic were somewhat naive and i* was some time before it was realized that incorrect (or at least ambiguous) results had been obtained by not paying proper attention to some of the mathematical techniques involved. In particular, care had to be exercised in interpreting and manipulating certain integrals—the so-called Itô and Stratonovich stochastic integrals [Ref. 9].

The aim of the papers on this problem has been to derive a differential equation for the conditional probability density (or conditional

moments) of the stochastic process to be estimated given all the past observations of a related stochastic process. The most general results for conditional moments that have been rigorously derived have been obtained by Kushner [Ref. 6]. He had to make several assumptions on the stochastic processes involved. Often these assumptions are difficult to verify for physical models. The physical meaning of many of the assumptions is unclear and often the assumptions were made only to obtain some mathematical results.

One reason for these many assumptions is that the problem is first solved in the discrete time and then there is a passage to the limit to obtain the continuous time result. Mortensen was the first to use a purely continuous time approach though he made some fairly restrictive assumptions.

2. Absolute Continuity of Measures

For the continuous time proof of the existence of the conditional probability density function we use certain results on the absolute continuity of probability measures that correspond to solutions of stochastic differential equations (stochastic differential equations will be defined subsequently). Prohorov [Ref. 10] obtained the first results for absolute continuity with the stochastic processes described by stochastic differential equations though some pioneering work on this problem was done by Cameron and Martin [Ref. 11]. Following Prohorov, Skorokhod [Refs. 12,13] and Girsenov [Ref. 14] obtained more general results on sufficient conditions for absolute continuity.

3. Detection Theory

Some detection theory problems of a stochastic signal in white

noise have been solved where the likelihood function (Radon-Nikodym derivative) can be recursively calculated. This recursive result was obtained by Schweppe [Ref. 15] for the case where the signal is generated by white noise into a finite dimensional linear system. His solution makes use of the linear filtering results of Kalman and Bucy [Ref. 1]. Van Trees [Ref. 16] has considered a related problem obtaining the same "type" of result as Schweppe. Sosulin and Stratonovich [Ref. 17] consider the signal as a general diffusion process and indicate that the nonlinear filtering results can be used to solve recursively for the likelihood function.

B. NEW RESULTS

We will briefly describe some of the results obtained in this dissertation.

1. Nonlinear Filtering Theory

We present a rigorous derivation of a stochastic equation for the evolution of the conditional probability density. The proof works directly with the continuous time stochastic processes and no "discretizations" are used. We also prove existence and differentiability properties for transition densities corresponding to diffusion solutions of stochastic differential equations. These properties are used in the derivation of the equation for the conditional probability density. The main results are Theorems 2.1 and 4.1.

2. Absolute Continuity of Measures

We derive necessary and sufficient conditions for the absolute continuity of measures corresponding to the solutions of a large class of stochastic differential equations. This result is given in Theorem 3.1.

3. Detection Theory

We consider the detection problem of determining whether a stochastic signal (diffusion process) is present in white Gaussian noise (Brownian motion) i.e., we have the two hypotheses to test

$$dy_{t} = H(t)x_{t} dt + d\tilde{B}_{t}$$
 for $\theta = 1$

$$= d\tilde{B}_{t}$$
 for $\theta = 0$

where x_t is the signal and dB_t is the noise. We rigorously derive a differential equation for the likelihood function and relate this to the nonlinear filtering problem. We compare this result to the results of Schweppe [Ref. 15] and Sosulin and Stratonovich [Ref. 17] and relate the differences to the different definitions of stochastic integral.

We consider the detection problem of a stochastic signal in correlated noise and discuss conditions for nonsingular detection. We show how the nonsingular problem can be related to a nonlinear filtering problem to obtain a differential equation for the likelihood function.

C. SOME MATHEMATICAL TECHNIQUES AND RESULTS

1. General Theory and Notation

A number of mathematical definitions and results will be used in this dissertation that may be somewhat unfamiliar to most engineers. We will briefly review these topics here.

Stochastic processes which are solutions of stochastic differential equations will be considered here. For general references on stochastic processes and particularly to stochastic differential equations the reader is referred to Doob [Ref. 18] and K. Itô [Ref. 19]. Some familiarity with

the basic definitions of probability theory and stochastic processes will be assumed. Generally a stochastic process could be denoted by the four-tuple $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P, \{X_t\}_{t\in T})$ where

- i) $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ is a probability space, i.e., a measurable space with a probability measure on it. For our case we will usually consider Ω to be the space of continuous functions on T = [0,1], \mathfrak{F} then is the Borel σ -algebra for Ω and the probability measure P is a measure on the space of continuous functions. The points in Ω will be denoted by ω .
- ii) $\{X_t^i\}_{t\in T}$ is a family of random variables on (Ω,\mathfrak{F}) with values in the state space (E,\mathcal{E}) . For our case the state space (E,\mathcal{E}) will usually be $(\mathfrak{R}^n,\mathcal{B}^n)$ where \mathcal{B}^n is the Borel σ -algebra on \mathfrak{R}^n (Euclidean n-space). The time set T will be a compact interval, usually [0,1].

We define $B(X_u, u \le t)$ as the Borel σ -algebra generated by the process $\{X_u, u \le t\}$. A family of (sub) σ -algebras \mathfrak{F}_t is said to be increasing if for $s \le t$ $\mathfrak{F}_s \subset \mathfrak{F}_t$. The process $\{X_t\}$ is said to be adapted to \mathfrak{F}_t if X_t is \mathfrak{F}_t measurable. For example, X_t is adapted to $B(X_u, u \le t)$. We will assume that all the (sub) σ -algebras are augmented, i.e., if $\mathfrak{N} = \{\Lambda : P(\Lambda) = 0\}$ then $\mathfrak{F}_t \supset \mathfrak{N}$ for \forall t. Without this assumption when we obtain almost sure (a.s.) equality we are not certain that all versions have the desired measurability properties on the sub σ -algebra.

By a Markov process we mean fundamentally a stochastic process that has the so-called Markov property (cf. Loève [Ref. 20]) i.e.,

$$P(\Lambda_{\text{future}}|B_{\text{present+past}}) = P(\Lambda_{\text{future}}|B_{\text{present}}) \text{ a.s.}$$

It will be useful to define more precisely the motion of a Markov process (cf. Dynkin [Refs. 21,22]). Take a measurable space (E,E). The function $P(s,x;t,\Gamma)$ ($0 \le s \le t$, $x \in E,\Gamma \in E$) is said to be a transition measure if the following conditions are satisfied:

- a. $P(s,x;t,\Gamma)$ is a measure (as a function of the set Γ)
- b. $P(s,x;t,\Gamma)$ is an \mathcal{E} measurable function of x
- c. $P(s,x;t,\Gamma) \leq 1$
- d. $P(s,x;s,E\setminus x) = 0$

e.
$$P(s,x;u,\Gamma) = \int_{E} P(s,x;t,dy)P(t,y;u,\Gamma) \quad 0 \le s \le t \le u$$

We shall also need the notion of a transition density. Let μ be a measure on the state space (E,E). The function p(s,x;t,y) (t > s;x,y ϵ E) is called a transition density if the following conditions are satisfied:

- α . $p(s,x;t,y) \ge 0 (t > s;x,y \in E)$
- β . For fixed t and s p(s,x;t,y) is an $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}$ measurable function of (x,y)
- γ_{\bullet} $\int_{E} p(s,x;t,y)\mu(dy) \leq 1 \ (t > s,x \in E)$

8.
$$p(s,x;t,y) = \int_E p(s,x;u,z)p(u,z;t,y)\mu(dz)$$
 (s < u < t,x,y \in E)

Under certain conditions on the Markov process it is possible to show that the transition density function exists and satisfies the following two linear second-order parabolic equations.

$$-\frac{\partial p(s,y;t,x)}{\partial s} = \sum_{i} a_{i}(s,y) \frac{\partial p}{\partial y_{i}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j}(s,y) \frac{\partial^{2} p}{\partial y_{i} \partial y_{j}}$$
 (1.1)

$$\frac{\partial p(s,y;t,x)}{\partial t} = -\sum_{i} \frac{\partial (a_{i}(t,x)p)}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^{2}(c_{i,j}(t,x)p)}{\partial x_{i}\partial x_{j}}$$
(1.2)

where

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_{|y-x| < \delta} (y - x)P(s,x;s + t,dy) = a(s,x)$$

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_{|y-x| < \delta} (y-x)(y-x)^{T} P(s,x;s+t,dy) = c(s,x)$$

$$\delta > 0$$

These equations are usually called Kolmogorov's backward and forward equation respectively. The reader is referred to Feller [Ref. 23] and Bharcuha-Reid [Ref. 24] for a more complete discussion of these equations.

Consider a process $\{X_t\}$. If $\{X_t\}$ is a Markov process then for $t>\tau$ and $\Lambda\in\mathcal{B}(X_t)$

$$P(\Lambda | X_u, u \leq \tau) = P(\Lambda | X_\tau) a.s.$$

If we can replace τ by a (random) stopping time $T(\omega)$ such that

$$\{\omega : T \leq s\} \in B(X_u, u \leq s)$$

and if $T \le \tau$ and

$$P(\Lambda | X_u, u \leq T) = P(\Lambda | X_m)$$
 a.s.

then $\{X_{\pm}\}$ is called a strong Markov process.

By a diffusion process we mean a strong Markov process with continuous sample paths.

By Brownian motion (also called the Wiener process) we mean a process $\{B(t,\omega),P\}$ which has continuous sample paths whose increments are independent and normally distributed. If $\{B_t\}$ is defined for te [0,1] we assume $B(0,\omega)=0$ and $E\left(B_t^2\right)=t$. By n-dimensional Brownian motion we mean a system of n one-dimensional Brownian motions independent of each other.

We now consider integrals with respect to the Brownian motion integrator, i.e., integrals of the form

$$\int f(t) dB(t,\omega)$$

Since Brownian motion has unbounded variation we cannot interpret this integral (for almost all ω) as a Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral. Wiener [Ref. 25] defined this integral using the integration theory developed by Daniell. This integral can be defined for all functions, f, that are square integrable (cf. Doob [Ref. 18] for a good discussion).

K. Itô [Refs. 19,26] considered the problem where f was a random function independent of the future Brownian motion. He first defined the integral for step functions as

$$\sum_{i} f(t_{i}, \omega) \left(B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_{i}}\right)$$

 $\exists k > 0$ such that for $\forall x \in \Re^n$

$$x^{T}Ax > kx^{T}x = k|x|^{2}$$
 (1.6)

The proof of a theorem, lemma, etc., begins with the word "proof" and terminates with the symbol | which can be read as "this completes the proof."

2. Theory of Stochastic Differential Equations

We will have occasion throughout this dissertation to consider vector stochastic differential equations such as

$$dx(t,\omega) = a(t,x(t,\omega)) dt + b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (1.7)

where $x(t,\omega)$, $a(t,x(t,\omega))$ and $B(t,\omega)$ will be $n \times 1$ column vectors and b will be an $n \times n$ matrix. The process $\{B_t\}$ is n-dimensional Brownian motion. The vector a is usually referred to as the drift or transfer vector and the matrix b is called the diffusion matrix.

We shall briefly review some results from the theory of stochastic differential equations that will be used in later chapters.

a. Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions of Stochastic Differential Equations

The usual results for existence and uniqueness for solutions of stochastic differential equations are due to K. Itô [Refs. 19,26] and I. I. Gikhman [Ref. 27].

Theorem 1.1. Consider a vector stochastic differential equation

$$dx(t,\omega) = a(t,x(t,\omega)) dt + b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (1.7)

and showed that this definition could be extended to all functions f satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(t,\omega)|^2 dt dP < \infty$$

If the integrand is measurable with respect to the past Brownian motion then by It\^o^{ϵ} s definition of stochastic integrals this integral with respect to Brownian motion is a martingale of Brownian motion, i.e., for $\tau < t$

$$E\left[\int_{0}^{t} f(s,\omega) dB_{g} | B(B_{u}, u \leq \tau)\right] = \int_{0}^{\tau} f(s,\omega) dB_{g} \quad a.s. \quad (1.3)$$

This martingale property will be important in many of our calculations.

Some other notational descriptions will be useful. By $\sigma(L^1, L^\infty)$ we mean the weak topology induced on L^1 by L^∞ . A description of weak topology can be found in Royden [Ref. 27] or Kelley [Ref. 28].

Given a matrix $a(t,x) = \{a_{i,j}(t,x)\}$ we say that a(t,x) satisfies a global Lipschitz condition if each component $a_{i,j}$ satisfies this property, i.e., $\forall x,y$

$$|a_{i,j}(t,x) - a_{j,j}(t,y)| \le K|x - y|$$
 $i,j = 1,2,...,n$ (1.4)

Similarly by a(t,x) being bounded we mean $\exists K < \infty$ such that for $\forall t,x$

$$|a_{i,j}(t,x)| \le K$$
 $i,j = 1,2,...,n$ (1.5)

Given a vector or a matrix A we denote the transpose of A as A^{T} .

By a symmetric matrix A being strictly positive definite we mean

where $t \in [s,1]$, $x(s,\omega) = \alpha(\omega)$, $P\{|\alpha| < \infty\} = 1$ and the terms of the vector a(t,x) and the matrix b(t,x) satisfy a global Lipschitz condition in x and are measurable in t. Then the solution $\{x_t\}$ exists, is unique, and is a diffusion process. Furthermore if $\alpha \in L^2$ then

$$\sup_{s < t < 1} E\left(x_t^2\right) < \infty$$

Proof.

The idea of the proof is to use Picard iteration, as

$$x^{O}(t,\omega) = \alpha$$

$$x^{n+1}(t,\omega) = \alpha + \int_{s}^{t} a(u,x^{n}(u,\omega)) du + \int_{s}^{t} b(u,x^{n}(u,\omega)) dB(u,\omega) \quad (1.8)$$

to show that a solution exists and that it is unique. The integral with respect to Brownian motion is the stochastic integral defined by K. Itô. For details of the proof and the stochastic integral the reader is referred to K. Itô [Refs. 19,26].

b. Stochastic Differential Rule

Another result from stochastic differential equation theory will be important in the following presentation, that is, the stochastic differential rule. It is known that twice continuously differentiable functions of diffusion processes violate some of the usual rules for transformations in ordinary calculus. The stochastic differential rule is described in the following theorem which is due to K. Itô [Ref. 30].

Theorem 1.2. Let $x(t,\omega)$ satisfy

$$dx(t,\omega) = a(t,\omega) dt + b(t,\omega) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (1.9)

where we assume the vector $\mathbf{a}(t,\omega)$ and the matrix $\mathbf{b}(t,\omega)$ are independent of the future Brownian motion and \mathbf{G} is an open subset of the n-space \mathcal{R}^n which contains all the points $(\mathbf{x}(t,\omega))$ $\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{t} \leq \mathbf{v}$ $\mathbf{w} \in \Omega$. Let $\mathbf{f}(t,\mathbf{x})$ be a continuous function defined for $\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{t} \leq \mathbf{v}$ $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_n)^T \in \mathbf{G}$ and suppose that

$$f^{0}(t,x) = \frac{\partial f(t,x)}{\partial t}$$
 (1.10)

$$f^{i}(t,x) = \frac{\partial f(t,x)}{\partial x_{i}}$$
 $i = 1,2,...,n$ (1.11)

$$f^{ij}(t,x) = \frac{\partial^2 f(t,x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$
 i, j = 1,2,...,n (1.12)

are all continuous. Then the differential of $\eta(t,\omega) \equiv f(t,x(t,\omega))$ is

$$d\eta(t,\omega) = \left(\left(f^{O}(t,x(t,\omega)) + \sum_{i} f^{i}(t,x(t,\omega)) \right) a_{i}(t,\omega) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} f^{i,j}(t,x(t,\omega)) c_{i,j}(t,\omega) \right) dt + \sum_{i,j} f^{i}(t,x(t,\omega)) b_{i,j}(t,\omega) dB_{j}(t,\omega)$$

$$(1.13)$$

where $c(t,\omega) = \{c_{i,j}(t,\omega)\} = b^{T}(t,\omega)b(t,\omega)$.

Proof.

We briefly sketch the proof to give the reader an idea of the techniques. By the Taylor expansion of $f(t,x^1,\ldots,x^n)$ we have

$$\eta(s,\omega) - \eta(t,\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left(\eta \left(t_{k}^{m}, \omega \right) - \eta \left(t_{k-1}^{m}, \omega \right) \right) \\
= \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left[f^{O}(\gamma) \left(t_{k}^{m} - t_{k-1}^{m} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} f^{i}(\gamma) \left(x_{i} \left(t_{k}^{m} \right) - x_{i} \left(t_{k-1}^{m} \right) \right) \right. \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} f^{i,j}(\gamma) \left(x_{i} \left(t_{k}^{m} \right) - x_{i} \left(t_{k-1}^{m} \right) \right) \left(x_{j} \left(t_{k}^{m} \right) - x_{j} \left(t_{k-1}^{m} \right) \right) \\
+ \sum_{i,j} \theta_{i,j,k}^{m} \left(x_{i} \left(t_{k}^{m} \right) - x_{i} \left(t_{k-1}^{m} \right) \right) \left(x_{j} \left(t_{k}^{m} \right) - x_{j} \left(t_{k-1}^{m} \right) \right) \right] \\
+ \sum_{i,j} \theta_{i,j,k}^{m} \left(x_{i} \left(t_{k}^{m} \right) - x_{i} \left(t_{k-1}^{m} \right) \right) \left(x_{j} \left(t_{k}^{m} \right) - x_{j} \left(t_{k-1}^{m} \right) \right) \right] \tag{1.14}$$

where $\gamma = (t_{k-1}^m, x_1(t_{k-1}^m), \dots, x_n(t_{k-1}^m))$, $t_k^m = t + (k/m)(s - t)$. Since $f^{ij}(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are continuous and $x_i(t, \omega)$ $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are all continuous in t a.s., θ_{ijk}^m tends to 0 uniformly in m and k as $n \to \infty$ a.s. Therefore the last term in the above expression goes to zero in probability. It can be shown that

$$(x_{i}(s,\omega) - x_{i}(t,\omega))(x_{j}(s,\omega) - x_{j}(t,\omega))$$

$$= \int_{t}^{s} \left[(x_{i}(\tau,\omega) - x_{i}(t,\omega))a_{j}(\tau,\omega) + (x_{j}(\tau,\omega) - x_{j}(t,\omega))a_{i}(\tau,\omega) + c_{i,j}(\tau,\omega) \right] d\tau$$

$$+ \int_{t}^{s} \left[(x_{i}(\tau,\omega) - x_{i}(t,\omega))b_{i,k}(\tau,\omega) + (x_{j}(\tau,\omega) - x_{j}(t,\omega))b_{i,k}(\tau,\omega) \right] dB_{k}(\tau,\omega)$$

$$(1.15)$$

Using this result the remaining terms in the Taylor expansion are: (suppressing the summation signs)

$$\begin{split} &\int\limits_{t}^{s} \left(f^{O}(\alpha) + f^{i}(\alpha)a_{i}(\tau) + \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(\alpha)c_{ij}(\tau)\right)d\tau + \int\limits_{t}^{s} f^{i}(\alpha)b_{ij}(\tau) dB_{j}(\tau) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{t}^{s} f^{ij}(\alpha) \left[\left(x_{i}(\tau) - x_{i}(\lambda_{m}(\tau))\right)a_{j}(\tau) + \left(x_{j}(\tau) - x_{j}(\lambda_{m}(\tau))\right)a_{i}(\tau) \right] d\tau \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{t}^{s} f^{ij}(\alpha) \left[\left(x_{i}(\tau) - x_{i}(\lambda_{m}(\tau))\right)b_{jk}(\tau) + \left(x_{j}(\tau) - x_{j}(\lambda_{m}(\tau))\right)b_{ik}(\tau) \right] dB_{k}(\tau) \end{split}$$

where $\lambda_m(\tau)$ denotes the maximum t_k^m which does not exceed τ and $\alpha = (\lambda_m(\tau), x_1(\lambda_m(\tau)), \dots, x_n(\lambda_m(\tau)))$. Since $x_1(\lambda_m(\tau)) \to x_1(\tau)$ a.s. the last two integrals in the above expression go to zero in the limit and we have the result.

To illustrate the application of the stochastic differential rule we provide two examples (which will also be used subsequently).

Example 1.

Consider the function M_{t} given by

$$M_{t} = \exp \left[\int_{s}^{t} a^{T}(u, x(u, \omega)) e^{-1}(u, x(u, \omega)) dx(u, \omega) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{t} a^{T}(u, x(u, \omega)) e^{-1}(u, x(u, \omega)) a(u, x(u, \omega)) du \right]$$
(1.16)

where $dx(u,\omega) = b(u,x(u,\omega)) dB(u,\omega)$, $c = b^{T}b$, and b^{-1} exists.

The function M_{t} can therefore be rewritten as

$$M_{t} = \exp \left[\int_{s}^{t} a^{T}(u, x(u, \omega)) b^{T^{-1}}(u, x(u, \omega)) dB(u, \omega) \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{t} a^{T}(u, x(u, \omega)) c^{-1}(u, x(u, \omega)) a(u, x(u, \omega)) du \right] \qquad (1.17)$$

Let

$$dz_{t} = a^{T}(t, x_{t})b^{T^{-1}}(t, x_{t}) dB_{t}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} a^{T}(t, x_{t})c^{-1}(t, x_{t})a(t, x_{t}) dt \qquad (1.18)$$

Then

$$M_{t} = e^{z_{t}}$$
 (1.19)

We shall now apply the stochastic differential rule (Theorem 1.2) to the function $e^{z}t$. We first compute the derivatives of e^{x}

$$e^{x} = \frac{d}{dx} e^{x} = \frac{d^{2}e^{x}}{dx^{2}}$$

Substituting these terms in Eq. (1.13) we have the following equation for $\,{\rm M}_{\rm t}\,$

$$M_{t} = 1 + \int_{s}^{t} M_{u} a^{T}(u, x_{u}) b^{T^{-1}}(u, x_{u}) dB_{u}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{t} M_{u} a^{T}(u, x_{u}) c^{-1}(u, x_{u}) a(u, x_{u}) du$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{t} M_{u} a^{T}(u, x_{u}) b^{T^{-1}}(u, x_{u}) b^{-1}(u, x_{u}) a(u, x_{u}) du \qquad (1.20)$$

Recalling that $c = b^{T}b$ we have

$$M_{t} = 1 + \int_{s}^{t} M_{u} a^{T}(u, x_{u}) b^{T^{-1}}(u, x_{u}) dB_{u}$$
 (1.21)

or written as only a functional of x_u , $s \le u \le t$ we have

$$M_{t} = 1 + \int_{s}^{t} M_{u} a^{T}(u, x_{u}) c^{-1}(u, x_{u}) dx_{u}$$
 (1.22)

Example 2.

Find the differential for q_{+}^{-1} where

$$q_{t} = E_{X} \exp \left[\int_{s}^{t} g^{T}(u, x_{u}, y_{u}) dB_{u} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{t} g^{T}(u, x_{u}, y_{u}) g(u, x_{u}, y_{u}) du \right]$$

$$= 1 + E_{X} \int_{s}^{t} \psi_{u} g(u, x_{u}, y_{u}) dB_{u} \qquad (1.23)$$

where $q_t = E_X(\psi_t)$ and we assume

$$q_t = 1 + \int_{S}^{t} E_X(\psi_u g(u, x_u, y_u)) dB_u$$
 (1.24)

The expression for the differential of q_t^{-1} can be written down formally as

$$d\left(q_{t}^{-1}\right) = -\frac{1}{q_{t}^{2}} dq_{t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{q_{t}^{3}} (dq_{t})^{2} \qquad (1.25)$$

since the differential rule can be characterized as

$$df_t = f'_t dx_t + \frac{1}{2} f'_t (dx_t)^2$$
 (1.26)

and since the term $(dq_t)^2$ arises only from the stochastic integral via

our differential rule we have

$$d\left(q_{t}^{-1}\right) = -\frac{1}{q_{t}^{2}} E_{X}(\psi_{t}g(t,x_{t},y_{t})) dB_{t} + \frac{1}{q_{t}^{3}} E_{X}(\psi_{t}g(t,x_{t},y_{t}))^{T} E_{X}(\psi_{t}g(t,x_{t},y_{t})) dt$$
(1.27)

 Sufficient Conditions for the Absolute Continuity of Diffusion Processes

We now consider the following stochastic differential equations.

$$dx(t,\omega) = a(t,x(t,\omega)) dt + b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (1.7)

$$dy(t,\omega) = f(t,y(t,\omega)) dt + g(t,y(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (1.28)

Almost all sample functions of these two stochastic processes $\{x_t\}$ and $\{y_t\}$ are continuous functions. Therefore we can describe the stochastic processes $\{x_t\}$ and $\{y_t\}$ by measures, say μ_X and μ_Y on the n-dimensional space of continuous functions $C_n[s,l]$. We shall give sufficient conditions for μ_Y to be absolutely continuous with respect to μ_X (written $\mu_Y \ll \mu_X$).

In terms of stochastic differential equations the first results were obtained by Prohorov [Ref. 10] though some important pioneering work in Wiener measure (the measure induced by Brownian motion) was done by Cameron and Martin [Ref. 11]. Subsequent to Prohorov, Skorokhod [Ref. 13] and Girsanov [Ref. 14] considered the problem and obtained more general results. We state the result due to Girsanov in the Following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. Suppose that

$$lx(t,\omega) = a(t,x(t,\omega)) dt + b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (1.7)

$$dy(t,\omega) = (a(t,y(t,\omega)) + b(t,y(t,\omega))h(t,y(t,\omega))) dt + b(t,y(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
(1.29)

where

i) $t \in [s,1]$

ii)
$$h(t,y(t,\omega)) = (h_1(t,y(t,\omega)),h_2(t,y(t,\omega)),...,h_n(t,y(t,\omega)))^T$$

iii) $a(\cdot,\cdot)$, $b(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $h(\cdot,\cdot)$ are measurable in both variables

iv)
$$\int_{s}^{1} |b(t,x(t,\omega))|^2 dt < \infty$$
 a.e.

$$\int_{s}^{1} |h(t,x(t,\omega))|^{2} dt < \infty \quad a.e.$$

$$\int_{S}^{1} |a(t,x(t,\omega))|^{2} dt < \infty \quad a.e.$$

v)
$$|h(t,x(t,\omega))| < h_0(|x(t,\omega)|)$$

where h_{o} is a nondecreasing function of a real variable. Then

$$\mu_{\underline{Y}} <\!\!< \mu_{\underline{X}}$$

where μ_X and μ_Y are the measures induced on $C_n[\,s,1\,]$ by $\{x_t^{}\}$ and $\{y_t^{}\}$ respectively.

The Radon-Nikodym derivative, $\,d\mu_{\underline{Y}}/d\mu_{\underline{X}},\,$ will be given by

$$\frac{d\mu_{Y}}{d\mu_{X}} = \exp \left[\int_{s}^{1} h^{T}(u,x_{u}) dB_{u} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{1} |h(u,x_{u})|^{2} du \right]$$
 (1.30)

If b^{-1} exists, this can be rewritten entirely in terms of $\{x_t\}$,

$$\frac{d\mu_{Y}}{d\mu_{X}} = \phi_{1}(x_{u}, s \leq u \leq 1) = \exp\left[\int_{s}^{1} h^{T}(u, x_{u}) b^{-1}(u, x_{u}) dx_{u}\right]$$

$$-\int_{s}^{1} h^{T}(u, x_{u}) b^{-1}(u, x_{u}) a(u, x_{u}) du - \frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{1} |h(u, x_{u})|^{2} du$$
(1.31)

II. TRANSITION PROBABILITY DENSITIES FOR DIFFUSION PROCESSES

Markov processes which are solutions of stochastic differential equations generated by Brownian motion are often used to describe the nonlinear filtering problem and the stochastic optimal control problem. A fundamental tool for these problems is the conditional density, viz., the probability density for the process to be estimated conditioned on all the past observations. The expression for the conditional probability density is a function of the transition density for the Markov process which is to be estimated. Therefore to derive an expression for the conditional probability density rigorously it is first necessary to prove that this associated transition density exists. To derive a stochastic equation for the conditional probability density it is necessary to prove that the transition density is differentiable enough to satisfy Kolmogorov's forward equation (the Fokker-Planck equation).

In this chapter we shall consider stochastic differential equations which have diffusion process solutions, and (i) prove that the transition density with respect to Lebesgue measure exists for the diffusion process, (ii) prove that this transition density is suitably differentiable and that the various derivatives can be bounded so that the density function can be characterized as the fundamental solution of Kolmogorov's equations.

A. EXISTENCE AND DIFFERENTIABILITY OF TRANSITION DENSITIES

We now consider the problem of showing that the solution of the following vector stochastic differential equation

$$dx(t,\omega) = a(t,x(t,\omega)) dt + b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (2.1)

with suitable assumptions on the coefficients has a transition density and that this density satisfies Kolmogorov's forward and backward equations. In previous work on this problem, both a and b were assumed to be bounded and Holder (or Lipschitz) continuous. Under these assumptions, Mortensen [Ref. 8] established existence of the density, while Dynkin [Ref. 21] proved that the density existed and that it satisfied Kolmogorov's equations.

We make the following assumptions on the coefficients

i) The diffusion matrix b(t,x) is Hölder continuous in t, globally Lipschitz continuous in x and globally bounded. Moreover, the symmetric matrix $c\left(c=b^Tb\right)$ is strictly positive definite. The terms

$$\frac{\partial c_{ij}(t,x)}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial^2 c_{ij}(t,x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \qquad i,j = 1,2,...,n$$

are globally Lipschitz continuous in x, continuous in t and globally bounded.

ii) The transfer (drift) vector $\mathbf{a}(t,\mathbf{x})$ is continuous in t and globally Lipschitz continuous in \mathbf{x} . The terms

$$\frac{\partial a_{i}(t,x)}{\partial x_{i}} \qquad i = 1,2,...,n$$

are globally Lipschitz continuous in x and continuous in t.

We state our result in the following theorem.

$$dx(t,\omega) = a(t,x(t,\omega)) dt + b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (2.1)

where we make the assumptions on the coefficients described above. Then there exists a version of the transition density for $\{x_t\}$, p_X , which satisfies Kolmogorov's equations.

Before presenting the proof we shall briefly outline the steps. We first show that Kolmogorov's backward equation is naturally associated with the stochastic differential equation describing $\{x_t\}$ (Lemma 2.1). If this backward equation has a unique fundamental solution then we can show that this fundamental solution is the transition probability density for $\{x_t\}$ (Lemma 2.2). Furthermore, if we can show that the formal adjoint of the backward equation has a unique fundamental solution and that for large values of the space coordinates the fundamental solution decreases sufficiently rapidly, then we can prove that the transition density satisfies Kolmogorov's forward equation.

Since the coefficient $a(t,x_t)$ in the stochastic differential equation can be unbounded the usual results for existence and uniqueness of fundamental solutions for linear second-order parabolic equations cannot be used. We proceed by first showing existence of the transition density relating it to a simpler process (Lemma 2.3) and then finally proving that the transition density is suitably differentiable (Lemma 2.4).

1. Kolmogorov's Equations

Since we want to show that a transition density for a diffusion process exists and satisfies Kolmogorov's equations we have to use some

techniques and results from the theory of partial differential equations.

In particular it will be useful to define a fundamental solution of a partial differential equation.

- <u>Definition</u>. A function p(s,y;t,x) defined for $x,y \in g^n$ and s < t, $s,t \in T = [0,1]$ is a fundamental solution of $\mathfrak{L}f = 0$ if it has the following two properties
 - a. Considered as a function of (x,t) for each fixed $(s,y) \in \mathfrak{R}^n \otimes [0,1]$ the derivatives of p which appear in \mathfrak{L} exist, are continuous, and satisfy

$$\mathfrak{L}p = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathfrak{R}^n \otimes (0,1) \tag{2.2}$$

b. If h is a continuous real valued function on g^n with compact support then

$$\lim_{x,t} \int_{\Omega} p(s,y;t,x)h(y) dy = h(x_0)$$
(2.3)

We will now associate Kolmogorov's equations with the vector stochastic differential equation

$$dx(t,\omega) = a(t,x(t,\omega)) dt + b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (2.1)

Lemma 2.1. Let g be a bounded real valued twice continuously differentiable function defined on g^n . Let $\{x_t\}$ satisfy

$$dx(t,\omega) = a(t,x(t,\omega)) dt + b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (2.1)

where we assume the vector $\mathbf{a}(t,\mathbf{x})$ and the matrix $\mathbf{b}(t,\mathbf{x})$ satisfy a global Lipschitz condition in \mathbf{x} and are continuous in \mathbf{t} , and the matrix $\mathbf{c}\left(\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{b}\right)$ is strictly positive definite and $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s})=\mathbf{y}$.

Let

$$f(s,y;t) = E_{(s,y)}g(x(t,\omega))$$
 (2.4)

Then f(s,y;t) as a function of (s,y) satisfies the following linear second-order parabolic equation

$$-\frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = \sum_{i} a_{i}(s,y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{i}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j}(s,y) \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y_{i} \partial y_{j}}$$
(2.5)

Proof.

This result follows from the stochastic differential rule (Dynkin [Ref. 21]) and Dynkin's formula.

We now show that if the partial differential equation described in the above lemma has a unique fundamental solution, then by the properties of a fundamental solution we can characterize it as the transition density of $\{x_t^{}\}$.

Lemma 2.2. If the linear parabolic equation in Lemma 2.1

$$-\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{s}} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}) \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}) \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}} \partial \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{j}}}$$
(2.5)

has a unique fundamental solution, p, then p is the transition density for the diffusion process $\{x_{\pm}\}$ which satisfies

$$dx(t,\omega) = a(t,x(t,\omega)) dt + b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$

and therefore the transition density, p, satisfies Kolmogorov's backward equation.

Proof.

Using the definition of fundamental solution we can show that f(s,y;t) can be expressed as

$$f(s,y;t) = \int g(x)p(s,y;t,x) dx \qquad (2.6)$$

We recall a standard result from measure theory that bounded twice continuously differentiable functions can approximate in measure any essentially bounded function, i.e., bounded twice continuously differentiable functions are dense in the weak topology $\sigma\left(L^1,L^\infty\right)$ induced on the space L^1 by the space L^∞ (cf. Royden [Ref. 27] or Halmos [Ref. 31]). Therefore the probability for any Borel set can be obtained from the fundamental solution as a limit of twice continuously differentiable functions. The other properties of a transition density also follow from the properties of a fundamental solution. \blacksquare

We have therefore shown that the transition density satisfies Kolmogorov's backward equation.

We will now sketch the arguments to obtain the forward equation. The formal adjoint of the backward equation is

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} = -\sum_{\mathbf{i}} \frac{\partial (\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x})\mathbf{f})}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} \frac{\partial^{2} (\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x})\mathbf{f})}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}}$$
(2.7)

Assuming that a fundamental solution, p, exists and is unique for the backward equation, to show that p satisfies the adjoint equation (Kolmogorov's forward equation), besides assuming the appropriate differentiability of a, c and p, we must assume that the following terms, obtained by integrating by parts to derive the forward equation, are zero.

$$p(s,y;t,x)a_{1}(t,x)\Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} = 0 i = 1,2,...,n (2.8)$$

$$p(s,y;t,x)c_{i,j}(t,x)\Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} = 0 \quad i,j=1,2,...,n$$
 (2.9)

$$\frac{\partial (p(s,y;t,x)c_{i,j}(t,x))}{\partial x_i}\Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} = 0 \quad i,j = 1,2,...,n \quad (2.10)$$

We have shown that if Kolmogorov's backward equation has a unique fundamental solution then this fundamental solution is the transition density for the process $\{x_t\}$. If we assume the appropriate differentiability assumptions for the coefficients a and c to make Kolmogorov's forward equation meaningful and we assume this forward equation has a unique fundamental solution with the above equations (Eqs. 2.8, 2.9, 2.10) being satisfied then we will have shown that the transition density satisfies Kolmogorov's forward equation.

2. Usual Results for Existence and Uniqueness of Fundamental Solutions of Linear Parabolic Equations

To prove existence and uniqueness of the fundamental solutions of Kolmogorov's equations we make use of some of the usual results for fundamental solutions of linear second-order parabolic equations. We review these results now.

Consider the general linear homogeneous second-order rarabolic equation in the strip $H = (0,1] \otimes \mathfrak{R}^n$

$$\mathcal{L}_{s,y}^{u} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \mathbf{a}_{ij}(s,y) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y_{i} \partial y_{j}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{b}_{i}(s,y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{i}} - \mathbf{c}(s,y)u + \frac{\partial u}{\partial s} = 0$$
(2.11)

The following theorem is due to Il'in, Kalashnikov and Oleinik [Ref. 32] (cf. also Refs. 33,34).

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that all the coefficients of the above equation are bounded and continuous in H in the set of variables s,y and that they satisfy a Hölder condition in y:

$$|a_{i,j}(s,y') - a_{i,j}(s,y)| \le M|y' - y|^{\lambda}$$

$$|b_{i}(s,y') - b_{i}(s,y)| \le M|y' - y|^{\lambda}$$

$$|c(s,y') - c(s,y)| \le M|y' - y|^{\lambda}$$

$$|c(s,y') - c(s,y)| \le M|y' - y|^{\lambda}$$

In addition suppose that the coefficients a satisfy in H a Holder condition in s:

$$|a_{i,j}(s',y) - a_{i,j}(s,y)| \le M|s' - s|^{\lambda}$$

and

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{i,j}(s,y) \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} \ge \mu \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}^{2} \qquad \mu > 0$$

for all $(s,y) \in \overline{H}$ and real numbers $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n$. Then the parabolic equation has a fundamental solution p(s,y;t,x) and this solution is unique. For p(s,y;t,x) we have the following estimates

$$p(s,y;t,x) > 0, \quad s < t, \quad s,t \in [0,1],$$

$$p(s,y;t,x) \le K(t-s)^{-n/2} \exp \left[-\alpha |y-x|^2/(t-s)\right],$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial p(s,y;t,x)}{\partial y_1}\right| \le K(t-s)^{-(n+1)/2} \exp \left[-\alpha |y-x|^2/(t-s)\right],$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2 p(s,y;t,x)}{\partial y_1 \partial y_1}\right| \le K(t-s)^{-n/2-1} \exp \left[-\alpha |y-x|^2/(t-s)\right],$$

where K and α are positive constants.

If the derivatives

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}_{ij}}{\partial \mathbf{y}_{i}}$$
, $\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{a}_{ij}}{\partial \mathbf{y}_{i} \partial \mathbf{y}_{i}}$, $\frac{\partial \mathbf{b}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{y}_{i}}$ i, $j = 1, 2, ..., n$

are bounded and continuous in H and satisfy a Holder condition in y, then p(s,y;t,x) as a function of t and x satisfies the equation

$$\mathcal{L}_{t,x}^{*} p = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^{2} [a_{ij}(t,x)p]}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} - \sum_{i} \frac{\partial [b_{i}(t,x)p]}{\partial x_{i}} - c(t,x)p - \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = 0$$
(2.12)

SEL-67-035

3. Existence of the Transition Density

To show the existence of the transition density for $\{x_t\}$ we apply a technique used by Mortensen [Ref. 8]. We first introduce a "simpler" process $\{y_t\}$ satisfying

$$dy(t,\omega) = b(t,y(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (2.13)

Using the results for existence and uniqueness of the fundamental solutions for linear second-order parabolic equations with bounded Hölder continuous coefficients (Theorem 2.2) we can easily show that the transition density corresponding to $\{y_t\}$, p_Y , exists, is unique and satisfies Kolmogorov's forward and backward equations

$$-\frac{\partial p_{\mathbf{Y}}(s,y;t,x)}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} c_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}(s,y) \frac{\partial^{2} p_{\mathbf{Y}}}{\partial y_{\mathbf{i}} \partial y_{\mathbf{j}}}$$
(2.14)

$$\frac{\partial p_{\mathbf{Y}}(s,y;t,x)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^{2}(c_{ij}(t,x)p_{\mathbf{Y}})}{\partial x_{i}\partial x_{j}}$$
 (2.15)

To show that the transition density for $\{x_t\}$, p_X , exists and is suitably differentiable is more difficult because a can be unbounded. We first obtain the existence of p_X by using the results for absolute continuity of the measures of solutions of stochastic differential equations (Theorem 1.3).

<u>Lemma 2.3.</u> Let $\{y_t\}$ and $\{x_t\}$ satisfy

$$dy(t,\omega) = b(t,y(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (2.13)

$$dx(t,\omega) = a(t,x(t,\omega)) dt + b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (2.1)

where $t \in [s,1]$ $x(s) = y(s) = y_{\bullet}$

Then $\mu_X << \mu_Y$ and the transition density exists for the process $\{x_+\}$ and a version of it for all t ϵ [s,l] is given by

$$p_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y};\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}[\phi_{\mathbf{t}} | \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}} = \mathbf{x}] p_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y};\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x})$$
 (2.16)

where μ_X and μ_Y are the measures induced on $c_n[s,l]$ by $\{x_t^{}\}$ and $\{y_t^{}\}$ respectively and

$$\phi_{t}(y_{u}, s \leq u \leq t) = \exp \left[\int_{s}^{t} a^{T}(u, y_{u}) c^{-1}(u, y_{u}) dy_{u} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{t} |b^{-1}(u, y_{u})a(u, y_{u})|^{2} du \right]$$

$$(2.17)$$

 $c=b^Tb_*$. The function ϕ_t is the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\mathrm{d}\mu_X/\mathrm{d}\mu_Y$ for the processes $\{x_u\}$ and $\{y_u\}$ s $\leq u \leq t_*$

Proof.

The fact that $\mu_X <\!\!< \mu_Y$ follows from Girsanov's theorem (Theorem 1.3). Fix t. Let $\Lambda \in {\cal B}(x_{_{\rm t}}).$ Then

$$\mu_{\mathbf{X}}(\Lambda) = \int_{\Lambda} \phi_{\mathbf{1}} d\mu_{\mathbf{Y}} = \int_{\Lambda} \phi_{\mathbf{t}} d\mu_{\mathbf{Y}}$$
 (2.18)

We can replace ϕ_1 by ϕ_t in the above equation because $(\phi_t,\; \textit{B}(\textbf{B}_u,\; s \leq u \leq t)) \;\; \text{is a martingale.} \;\; \text{This will be discussed further}$

in the next chapter (Lemma 3.5).

$$\mu_{\mathbf{X}}(\Lambda) = \int_{\Lambda} \phi_{\mathbf{t}} d\mu_{\mathbf{Y}} = \int_{\Lambda} E[\phi_{\mathbf{t}} | \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}}] d\mu_{\mathbf{Y}}$$

$$= \int_{\Lambda} E[\phi_{\mathbf{t}} | \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}} = \mathbf{x}] p_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \qquad (2.19)$$

By the Radon-Nikodym theorem we have

$$p_{X}(s,y;t,x) = E[\phi_{t}|x_{t} = x]p_{Y}(s,y;t,x) \text{ a.e. dx}$$
 (2.20)

We can also immediately obtain this result for a countable set S dense in T = (s,l]. To show that this representation is valid for all $t \in (s,l]$ we proceed as follows. Let $t \notin S$, $t \in (s,l]$ and consider sets $\Lambda_a = \{x_t < a\} \in \mathcal{B}(x_t)$, $a \in \mathfrak{R}^n$. These probabilities can be obtained from S by the continuity of the sample paths and these sets generate $\mathcal{B}(x_t)$. So we can obtain, via a limit, the conclusion for $\forall \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}(x_t)$.

4. Differentiability of the Transition Density

<u>Lemma 2.4.</u> The transition density for the process $\{x_t\}$, p_X , satisfies Kolmogorov's equations.

Proof.

We will consider primarily Kolmogorov's forward equation since the additional results for the backward equation follow by the same techniques. We recall a few preliminaries first. Let

$$\Omega_{M} = \left\{ \omega : \sup_{s \leq t \leq 1} |x(t,\omega)| < M \right\}$$
 (2.21)

Since $\{x_{+}\}$ has continuous sample paths we have

$$P\left(\bigcup_{M} \Omega_{M}\right) = 1 = \lim_{M \to \infty} P(\Omega_{M}) \qquad (2.22)$$

Also

$$\sup_{s < t < 1} E\left(x_t^2\right) < \infty$$

Heuristically our approach is quite simple. Consider sets $\Gamma_n = \{x: |x| < n\} \otimes (0,1].$ We will give a Green's function for Γ_N which is not difficult to construct since the coefficients of the partial differential equation are bounded on this set. For large M, $P(\Omega_M) \approx 1$ so that a description of the transition density when the coefficients are bounded is (in some sense) a good approximation for the unbounded case. We will show that the sequence of Green's functions is monotone increasing. Since we showed that the density function for the diffusion process $\{x_t\}$ exists, we are able to bound this increasing sequence.

For Γ_n the Green's function $p_n(s,y;t,x)$ for

$$\mathfrak{L}(\bullet) = \frac{\partial(\bullet)}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^{2}(c_{ij}(t,x)\bullet)}{\partial x_{i}\partial x_{j}} + \sum_{i} \frac{\partial(a_{i}(t,x)\bullet)}{\partial x_{i}}$$
(2.23)

exists and has the properties described earlier for fundamental solutions of parabolic equations with bounded coefficients (Theorem 2.2).

It follows easily from probabilistic considerations that

$$\int_{|x| < n} p_n(s,y;t,x) dx \le 1 \quad |y| < n \quad 0 \le s < t \le 1$$
 (2.24)

and similarly

$$\int_{|y| < n} p_n(s,y;t,x) dy \le 1 |x| < n \quad 0 \le s < t \le 1$$
 (2.25)

Let $z \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ be fixed and let ψ be a smooth nonnegative function with compact support such that z is in the interior of the support of ψ . Choose m so large that the support of ψ is contained in |x| < m. Define f_j (j = m, m + 1) as

$$f_{j}(s,y) = \int p_{j}(s,y;t,x)\psi(x) dx \qquad (2.26)$$

Then f_j satisfies the differential equation in Γ_n and for s=t $f_j=\psi$ and $f_{m+1}\geq 0=f_m$ on |x|=m. Hence by the maximum principle for partial differential equations [Ref. 33] $f_{m+1}\geq f_m$ in Γ_n . If we then replace ψ by a sequence of nonnegative functions which approximate the Dirac measure concentrated at z we obtain

$$p_{m+1} \ge p_m$$
 in Γ_m

since |z| < m is arbitrary. We extend the definition of p_m to g^n by defining $p_m = 0$ if |x| or |y| > m. Thus

$$0 \le p_1 \le p_2 \le \cdots \le p_m \le p_{m+1} \le \cdots$$

for $\forall x, y \in \mathfrak{R}^n$, $t, s \in [0,1]$, t > s.

The sequence $\langle p_n \rangle$ has a finite limit a.e. (=p) but we must show that this limit is a solution of the parabolic equation, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{L}p = 0 \tag{2.27}$$

We could in fact bound the sequence by the estimates obtained for parabolic equations with bounded coefficients since the constant α in Theorem 2.2 can be shown not to depend on the boundedness of b and c and the constant K can be simply related to the coefficients b and c.

Therefore for $t > s x, y \in \mathfrak{R}^n$

$$\lim_{n} p_{n}(s,y;t,x) = p(s,y;t,x)$$
 a.e. (2.28)

is well defined (actually everywhere). It follows by the above that p is bounded and by Fatou's lemma

$$\int p(s,y;t,x) dx \le 1$$
 (2.29)

$$\int p(s,y;t,x) dy \leq 1$$
 (2.30)

Consider a bounded domain $D \subset \mathfrak{R}^n \otimes [0,1]$ and let t > s. Choose another bounded domain E such that $\overline{D} \subset E \subset \overline{E} \subset \mathfrak{R}^n \otimes (s,1]$. Choose m so large that $\overline{E} \subset \Gamma_m$. By the Schauder-Barrar-Friedman interior estimates [Ref. 33]

$$\langle \mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{u}} \rangle$$
, $\langle \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{I}}}{\partial \mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{u}}} \rangle$, $\langle \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{I}} \partial \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{I}}}{\partial \mathbf{z}^{\mathrm{u}}} \rangle$, $\langle \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{u}}}{\partial \mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{u}}} \rangle$

are uniformly bounded and equicontinuous in \overline{D} . Hence by the Ascoli theorem [Ref. 27] there exists a subsequence of $\langle p_n \rangle$ which together with its derivatives converges uniformly to \overline{p} in \overline{D} . Therefore

$$\mathfrak{L}\overline{p} = 0 \tag{2.31}$$

But

$$p_n \rightarrow p$$

Thus

$$\overline{p} \equiv p \text{ on } \overline{D}$$
 (2.32)

and since D was an arbitrary bounded domain

$$\mathfrak{L}p = 0, x, y \in \mathfrak{R}^n, s < t, s, t \in [0,1]$$

For completeness we should show that p is indeed a fundamental solution but this is straightforward and will therefore be omitted.

We should also verify that the assumptions on p to derive the forward Kolmogorov equation are valid. These assumptions are easily verified since

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le 1} \mathbb{E}\left(x_t^2\right) < \infty$$

and c is bounded.

The fact that p is a transition density, i.e.,

$$\int p = 1 \qquad (2.33)$$

as well as the uniqueness of the fundamental solution follow from our proof for existence of the density.

B. SOME REMARKS

Remark 1.

Theorem 2.1 is quite analogous to a result obtained by Eidelman [Ref. 34]. For other results for linear parabolic equations with unbounded coefficients the reader is referred to Krzyżański and Szybiak [Ref. 35], S. Itô [Ref. 36], and Aronson and Besala [Ref. 37].

The method of proof given here seems to simplify somewhat the usual construction of fundamental solutions by exploiting the probabilistic interpretation of the parabolic equation.

Remark 2.

We have obtained the existence and uniqueness of a transition density for a diffusion process which is the solution of a stochastic differential equation and have shown that it is suitably differentiable when some of the coefficients can be unbounded. Existence and uniqueness of the transition density is not true for arbitrary smooth but unbounded coefficients.

We construct an example from one-dimensional diffusion theory to show that for some smooth but unbounded coefficients we will not obtain a usual density function, i.e.,

$$\int p < 1 \tag{2.34}$$

and the density function will not be unique.

Consider Brownian motion on the interval $[-\pi/2,\pi/2]$. It is intuitively clear (and not difficult to prove) that almost all sample paths of the Brownian motion will hit the boundaries $(x = -\pi/2,\pi/2)$. With suitable boundary conditions we can have a set of sample functions of positive probability absorbed at the boundaries.

Now we define a diffusion process on the extended real line by applying the smooth one-to-one transformation

$$y = \tan x \qquad (2.35)$$

to the Brownian motion on the interval $[-\pi/2,\pi/2]$. Therefore we have that a set of sample functions of positive probability of the new diffusion process is absorbed at the boundaries $(x = +\infty, -\infty)$. To clearly characterize this new diffusion process we compute its differential generator recalling that the differential generator for Brownian motion is

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2}$$

We obtain the differential generator for $y_t = \tan B_t$ by applying the chain rule for differentiation to

$$f(y) = f(\tan x) \qquad (2.36)$$

We obtain

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{f}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \tag{2.37}$$

$$\frac{\partial x^{2}}{\partial z^{2}} = \frac{\partial y^{2}}{\partial z^{2}} \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \frac{\partial x^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}$$
 (2.38)

We use the following elementary results

$$\frac{d \tan x}{dx} = \sec^2 x$$

$$\frac{d \sec^2 x}{dx} = 2 \sec^2 x \tan x$$

$$\sec^2 x = \tan^2 x + 1$$

to obtain the differential generator

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(1+y^2\right)^2\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}+y\left(1+y^2\right)^2\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \tag{2.39}$$

for the diffusion process on the real line. The diffusion process that we have constructed has the property that

$$\int dP < 1 \tag{2.40}$$

where P is the transition measure for the process. Furthermore, by our construction it follows that the above differential generator (Eq. 2.39) does not correspond to a unique diffusion process.

Remark 3.

Random initial conditions for the stochastic differential equations cause no difficulty for determining a transition density if we assume that these random variables have a finite second moment (though finiteness a.s. suffices), that these random variables are independent of the Brownian motion, that the corresponding probability measures for these random variables have a density with respect to Lebesgue measure which is suitably differentiable, and that we change the various σ -fields and the probability measure P to include these random variables.

III. THE ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY OF MEASURES OF DIFFUSION PROCESSES

The main objective of this chapter is to obtain necessary and sufficent conditions for the absolute continuity of the measure of the solution of one stochastic differential equation with respect to the measure of the solution of another stochastic differential equation and to obtain an explicit expression for the density function in the space of continuous functions. The necessary and sufficient conditions derived here are analogous to the conditions obtained for Gaussian processes with independent increments [Ref. 12]. To obtain these conditions a characterization for the density function will be given which will indicate to some extent its structure.

The conditions for absolute continuity of the measures of solutions of stochastic differential equations have application in communication theory to the detection problem when the two hypotheses can be modeled by stochastic differential equations. The characterization of the density function should be useful for acquiring a better understanding of the nonlinear filtering problem and the associated stochastic optimal control problem.

The main result of this chapter is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\{x_t\}$ and $\{y_t\}$ satisfy

$$dx(t,\omega) = a(t,x(t,\omega)) dt + b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (3.1)

$$dy(t,\omega) = f(t,y(t,\omega)) dt + g(t,y(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (3.2)

where $t \in [0,1]$, x(0) = y(0) and the coefficients a,b,f and g SEL-67-035 satisfy a global Lipschitz condition in the second variable and are continuous in the first variable and the diffusion matrices b and g have inverses for all values of their two variables. For

$$\mu_{\mathbf{X}} = \mu_{\mathbf{Y}} \tag{3.3}$$

it is necessary and sufficient that

$$b^{T}b = g^{T}g (3.4)$$

Corollary. For $\mu_Y <\!\!< \mu_X$ the density function M_t can be written as a functional of only x_u , $0 \le u \le t$

$$M(x_{u}, 0 \le u \le t) = \exp \left[\int_{0}^{t} (f(s,x(s,\omega)) - a(s,x(s,\omega)))^{T} e^{-1}(s,x(s,\omega)) dx(s,\omega) \right]$$

$$-\int_{0}^{t} (f(s,x(s,\omega)) - a(s,x(s,\omega)))^{T} c^{-1}(s,x(s,\omega))a(s,x(s,\omega)) ds$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{t} (f(s,x(s,\omega)) - a(s,x(s,\omega)))^{T} e^{-1}(s,x(s,\omega))(f(s,x(s,\omega)) - a(s,x(s,\omega))) ds$$
(3.5)

where

$$c = b^{T}b (3.6)$$

We note that while this theorem is similar to the condition for Gaussian processes we have had to be more restrictive in our assumptions than in the Gaussian case since we have had to assume that the diffusion matrices have inverses.

A. SOME SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY

To obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the absolute continuity of measures of solutions of stochastic differential equations we must first obtain an extension of the known sufficient conditions for the absolute continuity of the measures. The extension is not difficult using some techniques and results of Skorokhod [Ref. 13]. We first present some of Skorokhod's results.

1. Skorokhod's Results

Lemma 3.1. If b(t x) and g(t,x) are continuous in t and satisfy a global Lipschitz condition in x, then the process $\left\{x_t^{(\alpha)}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_t^{(\alpha)}\right\}$ defined for $\alpha=\{0,t_1,\ldots,t_\alpha=1\}$ as

$$x^{(\alpha)}(t,\omega) = x^{(\alpha)}(t_k,\omega) + \int_{t_k}^{t} b(t_k,x^{(\alpha)}(t_k,\omega)) dB(s,\omega) \qquad (3.7)$$

$$y^{(\alpha)}(t,\omega) = y^{(\alpha)}(t_k,\omega) + \int_{t_k}^{t} g(t_k,y^{(\alpha)}(t_k,\omega)) dB(s,\omega)$$
 (3.8)

for $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}]$ and

$$x^{(\alpha)}(0,\omega) = x(0,\omega)$$

$$y^{(\alpha)}(0,\omega) = y(0,\omega)$$

will for every t \in [0,1] = T converge in probability to the solutions of

$$x(t,\omega) = x(0,\omega) + \int_{0}^{t} b(s,x(s,\omega)) dB(s,\omega)$$
 (3.9)

$$y(t,\omega) = y(0,\omega) + \int_{0}^{t} g(s,y(s,\omega)) dB(s,\omega)$$
 (3.10)

as $\max_{k}(t_{k+1} - t_k) \rightarrow 0$ (i.e., the partitions become dense in the interval [0,1]).

Lemma 3.2. Let the finite-dimensional distributions of the processes $\zeta_n(t)$ and $\eta_n(t)$ converge weakly to the finite-dimensional distributions of the processes $\zeta(t)$ and $\eta(t)$, respectively, and let $P_1^{(n)}$, P_1 , $P_2^{(n)}$, and P_2 be the measures in function space corresponding to the processes $\zeta_n(t)$, $\zeta(t)$, $\eta_n(t)$ and $\eta(t)$. Moreover, let the measure $P_1^{(n)}$ be absolutely continuous with respect to the measure $P_2^{(n)}$ for all n, let

$$\frac{dP_1^{(n)}}{dP_2^{(n)}}(x(t))$$

be the density of $P_1^{(n)}$ with respect to $P_2^{(n)}$, and let

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\overline{\lim}}{n \to \infty} P \left\{ \left| \log \frac{dP_1^{(n)}}{dP_2^{(n)}} (x_n(t)) \right| > N \right\} = 0$$
 (3.11)

Then the measure P_2 is absolutely continuous with respect to the measure P_1 .

Lemma 3.3. Let the processes $\zeta_n(t)$, $\zeta(t)$, $\eta_n(t)$, $\eta(t)$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.2, and moreover, let $dP_2^{(n)}/dP_1^{(n)}$ exist. If $\zeta_n(t) \to \zeta(t)$, $\eta_n(t) \to \eta(t)$ and

$$\frac{dP_{2}^{(n)}}{dP_{1}^{(n)}} (\zeta_{n}(t)) \rightarrow \rho$$

in probability, then

$$\rho = \frac{dP_2}{dP_1} (\zeta(t)) \qquad (3.12)$$

Theorem 3.2. Let $\zeta_1(t)$ and $\zeta_2(t)$ be Gaussian processes with independent increments such that

$$E e^{i(z,\zeta_{j}(t))} = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(A_{j}(t)z,z)\right\}$$
 (3.13)

In order that $\mu_{\zeta_2}(t)$ be absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_{\zeta_1}(t)$ it is necessary and sufficient that $A_1(t) = A_2(t)$.

2. New Result

With these results of Skorokhod we are now in a position to extend the sufficient conditions for absolute continuity.

Theorem 3.3. If the processes $\{x_t\}$ and $\{y_t\}$ are defined as the solutions of the stochastic differential equations

continuous with respect to the measure $P_{(t,x)}^{(2)}$ corresponding to the process $\eta_{t,x}(\tau)$. We denote $x_t^{(\alpha)}$ as

$$x^{(\alpha)}(t,\omega) = x(t_k,\omega) + \zeta_{t,x}(t_k,\omega)^{(\alpha)} \quad t \in [t_k,t_{k+1}] \quad (3.19)$$

and by Lemma 3.1

$$x_t^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow x_t$$
 in probability $t \in [0,1]$

Since

$$\frac{\mathrm{dP}(2)}{\mathrm{dP}(1)} \left(\zeta(\tau) \right) \equiv 1 \tag{3.20}$$

the conditions of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 are satisfied and therefore

$$\mu_{\underline{Y}} <\!\!< \mu_{\underline{X}}$$

Similarly

$$\mu_{X} \ll \mu_{Y}$$

B. CHARACTERIZATION OF THE DENSITY FUNCTION

1. Assumptions

Before considering necessary conditions for the absolute continuity of the probability measures we will make some assumptions as to the type of stochastic differential equations to be considered and assumptions on the coefficients in

$$dx(t,\omega) = b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (3.14)

$$dy(t,\omega) = g(t,y(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (3.15)

where $b^T(t,x)b(t,x) = g^T(t,x)g(t,x)$ for all $t \in [0,1]$, $x \in g^n$, b and g satisfy a global Lipschitz condition in x and are continuous in t and c^{-1} exists where $c = b^Tb$, b and g are $n \times n$ matrices and $\{x_t\}$, $\{y_t\}$ and $\{B_t\}$ are n-dimensional processes then

$$\mu_{\mathbf{X}} = \mu_{\mathbf{Y}} \tag{3.16}$$

where μ_X and μ_Y correspond to the measures induced in function space by $\{x_t\}$ and $\{y_t\}$ respectively.

Proof.

Define the homogeneous processes with independent increments ζ and η :

$$\zeta_{t,x}(\tau) = \int_{t}^{\tau} b(t,x) dB(s,\omega)$$
 (3.17)

$$\eta_{t,x}(\tau) = \int_{t}^{\tau} g(t,x) dB(s,\omega) \qquad (3.18)$$

for
$$\tau \geq t$$

it then follows from Skorokhod's theorem (Theorem 3.2) that $P_{(t,x)}^{(1)}$ corresponding to the process $\zeta_{t,x}(\tau)$ is for all t and x absolutely

$$dx(t,\omega) = a(t,x(t,\omega)) dt + b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (3.1)

$$dy(t,\omega) = f(t,y(t,\omega)) dt + g(t,y(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (3.2)

where

$$x(t,\omega) = (x_1(t,\omega),...,x_n(t,\omega))^T \quad t \in [0,1]$$

$$y(t,\omega) = (y_1(t,\omega),...,y_n(t,\omega))^T$$

$$B(t,\omega) = (B_1(t,\omega),...,B_n(t,\omega))^T$$

$$a(t,x(t,\omega)) = \{a_1(t,x(t,\omega))\}$$

$$b(t,x(t,\omega)) = \{b_1(t,x(t,\omega))\}$$

$$f(t,y(t,\omega)) = \{f_1(t,y(t,\omega))\}$$

$$g(t,y(t,\omega)) = \{g_1(t,y(t,\omega))\}$$

Assumption. We will assume that the coefficients satisfy a global Lipschitz condition in the space variable and are continuous in the time variable and that the diffusion matrices b and g have inverses for $x \in \mathfrak{R}^n$, $t \in T$. We will assume the interval of solution of these equations is T = [0,1] and that x(0) = y(0) although of course this last assumption on the initial conditions can be weakened to the case where these random variables have measures that are equivalent.

By the real valued function M_t on $C_n[0,t]$ we will mean the density function when $\mu_Y \ll \mu_X$ where μ_X and μ_Y correspond to the measures induced by the solutions of the respective stochastic differential equations above. By the Radon-Nikodym theorem we have for $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}(y_n,\ 0 \le u \le t)$.

$$\mu_{\mathbf{Y}}(\Lambda) = \int_{\Lambda} M_{\mathbf{t}} d\mu_{\mathbf{X}}$$
 (3.21)

2. Uniform Integrability and Some Results From Martingale Theory

<u>Definition</u>. Let A be a subset of $L^1(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$. A is uniformly integrable if

$$\sup_{X \in A} \int_{\{|X| \ge n\}} |X(\omega)| P(d\omega) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

The following results indicate the importance of uniform integrability.

- Theorem 3.4. Let $\langle f_n \rangle$ be a sequence of integrable random variables that converge a.e. (or in probability) to a random variable f. Then f is integrable and the convergence of f_n to f takes place in the L^1 norm if and only if the f_n are uniformly integrable.
- Theorem 3.5 (Compactness Criterion of Dunford-Pettis). Let A be a subset of the space L¹. The following three properties are equivalent:
 - i. A is uniformly integrable.
 - ii. A is relatively compact in L^1 in the weak topology $\sigma(L^1,L^\infty)$.
 - iii. Every sequence of elements of A contains a subsequence that

converges in the sense of the topology $\sigma(L^1,L^\infty)$. We state some well known results from martingale theory.

Proposition 3.1 (Jensen's Inequality). Let c be a convex mapping of g into g and let X be an integrable random variable such that the composition c O X is integrable. The following inequality then holds

$$c \circ E[X|\mathcal{G}] \leq E[c \circ X|\mathcal{G}] \tag{3.22}$$

where g is a sub-o-field of g.

- Theorem 3.6. Let (X_t) be a right-continuous (or only separable) teg+
 supermartingale where g^+ is the positive half line
 - a. Suppose that

$$\sup_{\mathbf{t}} \ \mathbb{E} \Big[\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{t}}^{-} \Big] < \infty$$

The random variables X_t then converge a.s. to an integrable random variable X_m as $t \to \infty$.

- b. Suppose that the X_t are uniformly integrable. The above condition is then realized, the process (X_t) is a teg^+ \cup { ∞ } supermartingale, and the convergence takes place in the L norm.
- c. Suppose that the X_t are uniformly integrable and that the process (X_t) is a martingale. The process (X_t) is teg+ (∞) then a martingale.

The above results can be found in Meyer [Ref. 38]. The following

results for necessary conditions were developed through discussions with S. Watanabe.

3. Functionals of Brownian Motion

Our first task is to give some general representation for the density function $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{t}}$. To accomplish this we will have to obtain some preliminary results on representations of martingales of Brownian motion.

Definition. The sub-c-algebras \mathcal{B}_+ are defined as

$$B_t = B(B_u, 0 \le u \le t)$$

Theorem 3.7. Any L₂ functional of n-dimensional Brownian motion can be represented by an infinite sum of stochastic integrals plus a constant.

Corollary. Every square integrable martingale of Brownian motion can be represented by an infinite sum of stochastic integrals plus a constant.

Proof (Theorem).

K. Itô [Ref. 39] proves the theorem for 1 dimensional Brownian motion, the n dimensional case follows from his results.

(Corollary). Recall T = [0,1] for this chapter so let $t \in [0,1]$ and given

$$\sup_{t \in T} E(Y_t^2) < \infty$$

and $\{Y_t, B_t\}$ is a martingale. By the martingale convergence theorem (Theorem 3.6) \exists one and only one (up to equivalence) Y_1 such that for $t \in [0,1]$

$$Y_{t} = E[Y_{1} | \boldsymbol{B}_{t}] \quad a.s. \quad (3.23)$$

By Itô's representation we can write

$$Y_{1} = \sum_{i} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi_{i}(s,\omega) dB_{s} + c$$

$$Y_{t} = E \left[\sum_{i} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi_{i}(s,\omega) dB_{s} | \mathbf{B}_{t} \right] + c$$

$$= \sum_{i} E \left[\int_{0}^{t} \Phi_{i}(s,\omega) dB_{s} | \mathbf{B}_{t} \right] + \sum_{i} E \left[\int_{0}^{1} \Phi_{i}(s,\omega) dB_{s} | \mathbf{B}_{t} \right] + c$$

$$(3.24)$$

where the $\{\Phi_i(s,\omega)\}$ are n dimensional vectors which are \boldsymbol{B}_s measurable for each s. (The integrand product above will mean throughout this chapter the usual inner product.) Since $\{Y_t, \boldsymbol{B}_t\}$ is a square integrable martingale the first sum is \boldsymbol{B}_t measurable and the second sum is zero. Thus

$$Y_t = \sum_{i} \int_{0}^{t} \Phi_i(s,\omega) dB_s + c \quad a.s.$$
 (3.26)

This was done for fixed t. Since the martingale is continuous we can apply the result to a countable dense T set and we therefore have for all t

$$Y_{t} = \sum_{i}^{t} \int_{0}^{\Phi_{i}(s,\omega)} dB_{s} + c \quad a.s. \quad \blacksquare$$
 (3.27)

In our attempt to characterize the density function $\mathbf{M}_{\!\!\!\!+}$ it is necessary

to relate the σ -algebras $B(\mathbf{x}_u,\ 0 \le u \le t)$ and $B(\mathbf{y}_u,\ 0 \le u \le t)$ to $B(\mathbf{B}_u,\ 0 \le u \le t)$.

Lemma 3.4. For all t

$$B(y_u, 0 \le u \le t) = B(x_u, 0 \le u \le t) = B(B_u, 0 \le u \le t)$$
 (3.28)

Proof.

We will prove only the last equality i.e.,

$$B(x_{u}, 0 \le u \le t) = B(B_{u}, 0 \le u \le t)$$
 (3.29)

since the other proof follows similarly.

Since the coefficients of the stochastic differential equation are Lipschitz continuous we can apply the recursive formula (Picard iteration) that K. Itô uses to prove existence and uniqueness of the solution of stochastic differential equations. If we let $\mathbf{x}^n(t,\omega)$ be the \mathbf{n}^{th} solution in the recursive procedure we clearly have

$$B(x_u^n, 0 \le u \le t) \subset B(B_u, 0 \le u \le t)$$

Since we are performing a countable operation we can pass to the limit and obtain

$$B(x_u, 0 \le u \le t) \subset B(B_u, 0 \le u \le t)$$

Conversely, since the diffusion matrix is nonsingular given any $x(t,\omega)$ we can determine $B(t,\omega)$ and therefore

$$B(x_u, 0 \le u \le t) \supset B(B_u, 0 \le u \le t)$$

We remark that this result is not always true as Itô and Nisio [Ref. 40] have indicated. They modify an example of Girsanov and show that

$$B(x_u, 0 \le u \le t) \not\subset B(B_u, 0 \le u \le t)$$

Conversely, trivial examples when the diffusion matrix is singular will show that the other σ -algebra inclusion is not always valid, i.e.,

$$B(x_u, 0 \le u \le t) \not\supset B(B_u, 0 \le u \le t)$$

We use the result of the preceding lemma (Lemma 3.4) to make the following assertions about the density function and subsequently to derive an expression for the density function.

Lemma 3.5. The density function M_t is a martingale of Brownian motion.

Corollary. The density function M_{+} is a continuous function of t.

Proof (Lemma).

Let $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}(y_u, 0 \le u \le t) = \mathcal{B}(B_u, 0 \le u \le t)$ and let $\tau > t$

$$\int_{\Lambda} M_{\tau} d\mu_{X} = \int_{\Lambda} E[M_{\tau} | \mathcal{B}_{t}] d\mu_{X} = \int_{\Lambda} M_{t} d\mu_{X}$$
 (3.30)

Therefore $E[M_{\tau} | \mathcal{B}_{t}] = M_{t}$ a.s. μ_{X} and $\{M_{t}, \mathcal{E}_{t}\}$ is a martingale.

(Corollary). If M_t is square integrable it follows by the representation for L^2 martingales of Brownian motion. Otherwise since

 $0 \le M_t < \infty$ a.s. μ_X we can approximate by L^2 martingales and obtain the result by the martingale convergence theorem (Theorem 3.6).

4. Decomposition of Supermartingales

Doob in his development of martingale theory gave a unique decomposition of supermartingales for the discrete parameter case by a simple proof explicitly exhibiting the decomposition. The decomposition for continuous parameter right continuous supermartingales was finally completely solved a few years ago by P. A. Meyer. The problem is complicated a great deal by the continuous parameter and the decomposition is not valid for all continuous parameter supermartingales as it is for the discrete parameter case. Thus a number of definitions have to be given.

The concept of stopping time will play an important role in a number of the proofs given subsequently. A stopping time is defined as follows.

Definition. Let (Ω, \mathfrak{F}) be a measure space and let $(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbf{t}})$ be an increasing family of sub- σ -fields of \mathfrak{F} . A positive random variable T defined on Ω is said to be a stopping time of the family $\{\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbf{t}}\}$ if

$$\{\omega : T(\omega) \le t\} \in \mathfrak{F}_{t}^{+}$$
 for every $t \in \mathfrak{R}^{+}$

A supermartingale is decomposed into the difference of a martingale and an increasing process. An important variety of increasing process is the following, since it will insure uniqueness of the decomposition.

<u>Definition</u>. An increasing process $\{A_{\pm}\}$ is said to be natural if for

every positive bounded, right-continuous martingale $\{Y_t\}$ we have

$$E\begin{bmatrix} t \\ O \\ O \end{bmatrix} = E\begin{bmatrix} t \\ O \\ O \\ S - dA_S \end{bmatrix}$$
 (3.31)

Remark.

If $\{A_{\underline{t}}\}$ is continuous then it is natural.

Definition. Let $\{X_t\}$ be a right-continuous supermartingale relative to the family $\{\mathfrak{F}_t\}$ and let \mathfrak{I} be the collection of all finite stopping times relative to this family (respectively, \mathfrak{I}_a the collection of all stopping times bounded by a positive number a); $\{X_t\}$ is said to belong to the class (D) (respectively belong to the class (D) on the interval [0,a]) if the collection of random variables X_T $T \in \mathfrak{I}$ (respectively $T \in \mathfrak{I}_a$) is uniformly integrable.

 $\{X_t\}$ is said to belong to the class (DL), or locally to the class (D), if $\{X_t\}$ belongs to the class (D) on every interval [0,a] ($0 \le a < \infty$).

Definition. Let T be a stopping time relative to the family of σ -fields (\mathfrak{F}_{t}) . We denote by \mathfrak{F}_{T} the collection of events $A \in \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}$ such that

$$A \cap \{T \le t\} \in \mathfrak{F}_{t}$$
 for every $t \in \mathfrak{R}^{+}$

We will also have occasion in the subsequent work to use the optional sampling theorem in the continuous parameter case.

Theorem 3.8. Suppose that for the supermartingale $\{X_t\}$ there exists an integrable random variable Y such that

$$X_t \ge E[Y|y_t]$$
 for each $t \in R^+$ (3.32)

Let S and T be two stopping times such that S \leq T. The random variables X_S and X_T are then integrable, and we have the supermartingale inequality

$$X_{S} \ge E[X_{T} | \mathfrak{F}_{S}]$$
 a.s. (3.33)

The following theorem due to P. A. Meyer [Ref. 38] represents a complete solution to the decomposition of right-continuous, continuous parameter supermartingales. Its proof will not be included here, but it can be found in his book as can the preceding definitions.

Theorem 3.9. A right-continuous supermartingale $\{X_t\}$ has a decomposition

$$X_{t} = Y_{t} - A_{t} \tag{3.34}$$

where $\{Y_t\}$ denotes a right-continuous martingale and $\{A_t\}$ an increasing process if and only if $\{X_t\}$ belongs to the class (DL). There then exists a decomposition for which the process $\{A_t\}$ is natural, and this decomposition is unique.

5. An Expression for the Density Function

We have now the results necessary to characterize the density function $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{t}}$.

Theorem 3.10. If the density function, M_t , is strictly positive (a.s. μ_X) then there exists a function ϕ with $\phi(s, \cdot)$ \mathcal{B}_s measurable such that

$$M_{t} = \exp \left[\int_{0}^{t} \varphi(s,\omega) dB_{s} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} |\varphi(s,\omega)|^{2} ds \right]$$
 (3.35)

Proof.

By two previous lemmas we have that

- i. M_{+} is a martingale of Brownian motion.
- ii. $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{t}}$ is a continuous function of \mathbf{t} .

Recall that t ϵ [0,1]. Define stopping times T_n (with respect to F_t) as

$$T_n = \inf \left\{ t : M_t < \frac{1}{n} \text{ or } M_t > n \right\}$$
(3.36)

= 1 if the above set is empty.

It is elementary to verify that $\langle T_n \rangle$ is a sequence of stopping times of the family (B_t) . Clearly T_n is increasing with n and $T_n \uparrow 1$ a.s. μ_{χ} .

We prove the latter. If $T_n < 1$ on $\Lambda: \mu_X(\Lambda) > 0$ then either $M_t > n$, $\forall\, n$ and $\int_{\Lambda} M_t \; d\mu_X = +\infty$ or $M_t < 1/n$, $\forall\, n$ and $M_t = 0$ on Λ both of which are contradictions. Note also that $\lim\, T_n = T$ is a stopping time since $\langle\, T_n \rangle$ is increasing. We truncate the density function M_t by use of the stopping times T_n

$$M_{t}^{(n)} = M_{t \wedge T_{n}}$$
 (3.37)

The pair $\left(M_t^{(n)}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_T\right)$ is a martingale by the optional sampling theorem. The function, $M_t^{(n)}$, is square integrable for each n. We now consider

$$X_{t}^{(n)} = \log M_{t}^{(n)}$$
 (3.38)

Since $M_t^{(n)}$ is bounded away from zero and bounded above for each $n \in (\log M_t^{(n)}) < \infty$ so that $\log M_t^{(n)}$ is a supermartingale by Jensen's inequality. Since $X_t^{(n)}$ is bounded above and below it is easily verified that it is in class (D). Therefore we apply the Doob-Meyer decomposition and obtain

$$X_{t}^{(n)} = Y_{t}^{(n)} - A_{t}^{(n)}$$
 (3.39)

where $\left(Y_t^{(n)}, \mathcal{B}_{T_n}\right)$ is a martingale and $A_t^{(n)}$ is an increasing process. By Meyer's construction of the decomposition, the increasing process $A_t^{(n)}$ will be continuous if $X_t^{(n)}$ is continuous. Therefore (see remark on page 57) $A_t^{(n)}$ is natural and the decomposition is unique (Theorem 3.9). Since $A_t^{(n)}$ is continuous and $X_t^{(n)}$ is bounded it follows that $Y_t^{(n)}$ is bounded. Therefore the martingale $\left(Y_t^{(n)}, \mathcal{B}_{T_n}\right)$ is continuous and square integrable and can be represented by a sum of stochastic integrals (which, because of the continuity, can be summed to one stochastic integral) plus a constant term. Since $M_0 \equiv 1$ and $A_0 \equiv 0$ (by definition) it follows from Eq. (3.39) that Y_0 is zero and therefore the constant term is zero. Thus we can write $X_t^{(n)}$ in the form

$$X_{t}^{(n)} = \int_{0}^{t} \varphi^{(n)}(s,\omega) dB_{s} - \int_{0}^{t} dA_{s}^{(n)}$$
 (3.40)

SEL-67-035

Let m > n, then

$$M_{t}^{(n)} = M_{t}^{(m)} I_{\{t \leq T_{n}\}}$$
 (3.41)

where $I_{\{t \leq T_n\}}$ is the indicator function for the set $\{t \leq T_n\}$. By the uniqueness of the decomposition

$$A_{t}^{(n)} = A_{t}^{(m)} I_{\{t \leq T_{n}\}}$$
 (3.42)

and thus

$$\varphi^{(n)}(s,\omega) = \varphi^{(m)}(s,\omega)I_{\{t \leq T_n\}}$$
 (3.43)

By the assumptions on M_t and the definition of $(M_t^{(n)})$

$$M_{t} = \lim_{n \to \infty} M_{t}^{(n)} \quad a.s. \quad \mu_{X}$$
 (3.44)

thus we can define

$$A_{t} = \lim_{n} A_{t}^{(n)}$$
 a.s. (3.45)

$$\varphi(t, \cdot) = \lim_{n} \varphi^{(n)}(t, \cdot) \qquad a.s. \quad (3.46)$$

$$M_{t} = \exp \left[\int_{0}^{t} \varphi(s, \omega) dB_{s} - \int_{0}^{t} dA_{s} \right]$$
 (3.47)

Let $dz(t,\omega) = \varphi(t,\omega) dB_t - dA_t$ and apply the stochastic differential rule (Theorem 1.2) of K. Itô to

$$\exp[z_t]$$

The term dA_t causes no difficulty because A_t is of bounded variation. Thus

$$M_{t} = 1 + \int_{0}^{t} M_{s} \varphi(s, \omega) dB_{s} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} M_{s} |\varphi(s, \omega)|^{2} ds - \int_{0}^{t} M_{s} dA_{s}$$
 (3.48)

By definition of the density function

$$E[M_{+} - 1] = 0 (3.49)$$

and $\{M_t - 1, \#_t\}$ is a martingale with

$$E\left[\int_{0}^{t} M_{s} \varphi(s,\omega) dB_{s} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} M_{s} |\varphi(s,\omega)|^{2} ds - \int_{0}^{t} dA_{s}\right] = 0 \qquad (3.50)$$

Since the terms inside the expectation are a martingale of Brownian motion this implies

$$dA_{g} = \frac{1}{2} |\varphi(s,\omega)|^{2} ds \qquad (3.51)$$

$$M_{t} = \exp \left[\int_{0}^{t} \varphi(s,\omega) dB_{s} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} |\varphi(s,\omega)|^{2} ds \right]$$
 (3.52)

C. NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY

We now have established the preliminaries necessary to obtain the main result of this chapter, that is, necessary and sufficient conditions for the absolute continuity of measures which are generated by solutions

of stochastic differential equations.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\{x_t\}$ and $\{y_t\}$ satisfy

$$dx(t,\omega) = a(t,x(t,\omega)) dt + b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (3.1)

$$dy(t,\omega) = f(t,y(t,\omega)) dt + g(t,y(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (3.2)

where t ϵ [0,1], x(0) = y(0) and the coefficients a, b, f, g satisfy a global Lipschitz condition in the second variable and are continuous in the first variable and the diffusion matrices b and g have inverses for all values of their two variables. For $\mu_X \equiv \mu_Y$ it is necessary and sufficient that

$$b^{T}b = g^{T}g (3.4)$$

Corollary. For $\mu_Y <\!\!< \mu_X$ the density function M_t can be written as a functional of only x_u , $0 \le u \le t$

$$M(x_{u}, 0 \le u \le t) = \exp \left[\int_{0}^{t} (f(s,x(s,\omega)) - a(s,x(s,\omega)))^{T} e^{-1}(s,x(s,\omega)) dx(s,\omega) \right]$$

$$-\int_{0}^{t} (\mathbf{f}(s,\mathbf{x}(s,\omega)) - \mathbf{a}(s,\mathbf{x}(s,\omega)))^{\mathrm{T}} c^{-1}(s,\mathbf{x}(s,\omega)) \mathbf{a}(s,\mathbf{x}(s,\omega)) ds$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{t} (f(s,x(s,\omega)) - a(s,x(s,\omega)))^{T} c^{-1}(s,x(s,\omega))(f(s,x(s,\omega)) - a(s,x(s,\omega))) ds$$
(3.5)

Wnere

$$c = b^{T}b (3.6)$$

Proof of Theorem (Necessity).

By the preceding theorem we know that the density function $\,{
m M}_{
m t}\,$ is of the following form

$$M_{t} = \exp \left[\int_{0}^{t} \varphi(s,\omega) dB_{s} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} |\varphi(s,\omega)|^{2} ds \right]$$
 (3.52)

We will now characterize the vector function φ by applying the stochastic differential rule. For any $h \in C^2$, $h \in L^2(dt \times dP)$, $h : g^n \to g$

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left[\int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{s} dB_{s} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} |\varphi_{s}|^{2} ds \right] (h(x_{t}) - h(x_{0})) \right] = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E} \left[h(y_{t}) - h(y_{0}) \right]$$

$$= \frac{g^{\mathrm{T}}g}{2} h'' + fh' \qquad (3.53)$$

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} E \left[M_t(h(x_t) - h(x_0)) \right]$$
 (3.54)

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} E \left[(M_t - 1)(h(x_t) - h(x_0)) + (h(x_t) - h(x_0)) \right] (3.55)$$

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(h(x_t) - h(x_0) \right) \right] = \frac{b^T b}{2} h'' + ah' \qquad (3.56)$$

where

$$b^{T}bh'' = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^{2}h}{\partial x_{i}\partial x_{j}} \sum_{k} b_{ik}b_{kj}$$

We recall (somewhat heuristically here) the behavior of products of ordinary and stochastic integrals as $t\downarrow 0$

$$\int_{0}^{t} \alpha_{s} ds \int_{0}^{t} \beta_{\tau} dB_{\tau} \sim o(t)$$

$$\int_{0}^{t} \alpha_{s} ds \int_{0}^{t} \beta_{\tau} dB_{\tau} \sim o(t)$$

$$\int_{0}^{t} \alpha_{s} dB_{s} \int_{0}^{t} \beta_{\tau} dB_{\tau} \sim \int_{0}^{t} \alpha_{s} \beta_{s} ds \sim o(t)$$

The above results are proved by K. Itô in his derivation of the stochastic differential rule. Recall also

$$M_{t} - 1 = \int_{0}^{t} M_{s} \varphi_{s} dB_{s}$$
 (3.57)

and

$$h(x_t) - h(x_0) = \int_0^t h_s' a_s ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t h_s'' b_s^T b_s ds + \int_0^t h_s' b_s dB_s$$
 (3.58)

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} E \left[\int M_{s} \phi_{s} dB_{s} \left(\int h'_{s} a_{s} ds + \frac{1}{2} \int h'_{s} b'_{s}^{T} b_{s} ds + \int h'_{s} b_{s} dB_{s} \right) \right] = b\phi h'$$
(3.59)

Therefore

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} E \left[M_t(h(x_t) - h(x_0)) \right]$$

$$= \frac{b^{\mathrm{T}}b}{2} h'' + ah' + b\phi h' \qquad (3.60)$$

$$= \frac{g^{\mathrm{T}}g}{2} h'' + fh' \qquad (3.53)$$

The last equality is from our initial calculation (Eq. 3.53). Since this was done for arbitrary h $\in L^2(dt \times dP)$, h $\in C^2$ we have

$$b^{T}b = g^{T}g$$

$$f = a + bq$$

Our hypothesis that $\mu_X <\!\!< \mu_Y$ insures that $0 < M_{\rm t} < \infty$ a.s. μ_X

Proof (Sufficiency).

The proof is elementary so we will only sketch it. First define a correspondence between measures and solutions of stochastic differential equations (where we have suppressed the arguments of the coefficients)

$$\mu_{\text{l}} \sim \text{b} \text{dB}_{\text{t}}$$

$$\mu_2 \sim a dt + b dB_t$$

$$\mu_3 \sim g dB_t$$

$$\mu_{l_1} \sim f dt + g dB_t$$

From the usual results for absolute continuity (Theorem 1.3) we have

$$\mu_1 \equiv \mu_2$$

$$\mu_3 \equiv \mu_4$$

and from our extensions we have

$$\mu_1 \equiv \mu_3$$

It easily follows from the transitivity of the absolute continuity relation that

$$\mu_2 \equiv \mu_{\downarrow}$$

Proof of Corollary.

We note from our proof of necessity for the theorem that

$$f = a + b\phi \tag{3.61}$$

80

$$\varphi = b^{-1}(f - a) \qquad (3.62)$$

and

$$dB_t = b^{-1}(dx_t - a dt)$$
 (3.63)

Upon substituting in the expression for the density the above quantities for ϕ and dB_{t} we obtain the result. \blacksquare

IV. THE CONDITIONAL PROBABILITY DENSITY

A. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

Consider a vector Markov process $\{x_{t}\}$ satisfying

$$dx(t,\omega) = a(t,x(t,\omega)) dt + b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (4.1)

whose states x_t cannot be observed directly but only through the noisy observations

$$dy(t,\omega) = g(t,x(t,\omega),y(t,\omega)) dt + h(t,y(t,\omega)) d\tilde{B}(t,\omega)$$
 (4.2)

where $t \in [s,1]$ $x(s) = \alpha$, y(s) = 0

$$\mathbf{x}(t,\omega) = (\mathbf{x}_1(t,\omega),\mathbf{x}_2(t,\omega),\dots,\mathbf{x}_n(t,\omega))^T$$

$$y(t,\omega) = (y_1(t,\omega), y_2(t,\omega), \dots, y_m(t,\omega))^T$$

a is a vector in g^n , b is an n x n matrix, g is a vector in g^m and h is an m x m matrix and $\{B_t\}$ and $\{B_t\}$ are independent Brownian motions in g^n and g^m respectively.

Many control and communication problems associated with these stochastic differential equations require knowledge of the conditional probability density $p(x,t|\alpha,s,y_u,\ s\leq u\leq t)$ which is the probability density that $x_t=x$ given the observations $B(y_u,\ s\leq u\leq t)$ and that $x(s)=\alpha$.

In this chapter we shall show that such a conditional probability density function exists and shall give a formula for it (Eq. 4.15). This formula is difficult to realwate as it stands and therefore we shall obtain

from it a stochastic differential equation that will describe the evolution in time of the conditional probability density. This equation is a non-linear equation and except in certain very special cases [Ref. 41] no explicit solutions are known.

The main result of this chapter is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\{x_t\}$ and $\{y_t\}$ satisfy

$$dx(t,\omega) = a(t,x(t,\omega)) dt + b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (4.1)

$$dy(t,\omega) = g(t,x(t,\omega),y(t,\omega)) dt + h(t,y(t,\omega)) d\widetilde{B}(t,\omega)$$
 (4.2)

where $x_s = \alpha$, $y_s = 0$, $t \in [s,1]$, $\{B_t\}$ and $\{B_t\}$ are independent Brownian motions in g^n and g^m respectively and

i) The diffusion matrix b(t,x) is Hölder continuous in t, globally Lipschitz continuous in x and globally bounded. Moreover, the symmetric matrix c $\left(c = b^Tb\right)$ is strictly positive definite. The terms

$$\frac{\partial c_{i,j}(t,x)}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial^2 c_{i,j}(t,x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \qquad i,j = 1,2,...,n$$

are globally Lipschitz continuous in x, continuous in t, and globally bounded.

ii) The transfer (drift) vector a(t,x) is continuous in t and globally Lipschitz continuous in x. The terms

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{a_i}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x_i}} \qquad \mathbf{i} = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

are globally Lipschitz continuous in x and continuous in t.

iii) The transfer (drift) vector g(t,x,y) and the diffusion matrix h(t,y) satisfy a global Lipschitz condition in x and y and are continuous in t. Moreover, the symmetric matrix $f(f = h^T h)$ is strictly positive definite.

Then the conditional probability density $p(x,t|\alpha,s,y_u, s \le u \le t)$ exists and satisfies the following stochastic differential equation

$$dp_{t} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}(c_{ij}(t,x)p_{t})}{\partial x_{i}\partial x_{j}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial(a_{i}(t,x)p_{t})}{\partial x_{i}}\right) dt$$

+
$$(g_t - \hat{g}_t)^T f_t^{-1} (dy_t - \hat{g}_t dt)$$
 (4.3)

where

$$p_t = p(x,t|\alpha,s,y_u, s \le u \le t)$$
 (4.4)

$$g_t = g(t, x, y_t)$$
 (4.5)

$$f_t = f(t, y_t) \tag{4.6}$$

$$\hat{g}_{t} = \frac{\int \psi_{t} g(t, x_{t}, y_{t}) d\mu_{X}}{\int \psi_{t} d\mu_{X}}$$
 (4.7)

$$\psi_{t} = \psi_{t}(x_{u}, y_{u}, s \leq u \leq t) = \exp \left[\int_{s}^{t} g^{T}(u, x_{u}, y_{u}) f^{-1}(u, y_{u}) dy_{u} \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{t} g^{T}(u, x_{u}, y_{u}) f^{-1}(u, y_{u}) g(u, x_{u}, y_{u}) du$$
(4.8)

Stratonovich [Ref. 2] was apparently the first to consider the nonlinear filtering problem. His equation for the evolution of the conditional probability differs from our result because his stochastic integrals are not interpreted in the K. Ito sense [cf. Ref. 9]. Subsequent to Stratonovich, Kushner [Refs. 4,5,6], Kashyap [Ref. 3], Bucy [Ref. 7], and Mortensen [Ref. 8] have also discussed this problem. We rigorously derive the stochastic equation for the conditional probability under weaker assumptions than has been done [Refs. 6,8]. The style of proof that we shall give was first used by Mortensen but our results are extensions of Mortensen's work by allowing coefficients of the stochastic differential equations to be unbounded and assuming a more general form for the stochastic differential equations. Recently Shiryaev [Ref. 42] has sketched a proof of the equation for the evolution of the conditional probability density for a more general problem than we have considered but he did not indicate the assumptions that he made so we cannot compare the results. We also derive an equation for the conditional moments.

PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

Since the proof is long and quite detailed we shall first outline the major steps in it. We first prove the existence of the conditional probability measure and give an expression for it (Lemma 4.1). Recalling our result from Chapter 2 that the transition density exists (Lemma 2.3) SEL-67-035

72

we prove that the conditional probability density exists and obtain an expression for it which involves the transition density (Lemma 4.2). From this expression for the conditional probability density we shall obtain a stochastic equation for the evolution of this conditional probability density. To do this we shall need a Fubini-type result for a stochastic integral and an ordinary integral (Lemma 4.3). Having this we then establish a stochastic equation for the unnormalized conditional probability density (Lemma 4.4). Finally we combine this result with the differential for the normalization constant to obtain the main result.

We shall need to define various quantities and symbols. Our fundamental σ -algebra in this chapter is the augmented σ -algebra on the space of continuous functions that take values in g^{n+m} . We denote it by

$$\mathfrak{F}_{t} = B(B_{u}, \widetilde{B}_{u}, s \le u \le t) \stackrel{\bullet}{=} B(B_{u}, s \le u \le t) \oplus B(\widetilde{B}_{u}, s \le u \le t)$$
(4.9)

where $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ means equality up to sets of measure zero. Our fundamental measure P then will be a measure on this space of continuous functions $C_{n+m}[s,t]$. We define the following measures. Let μ_X be the measure on the space $C_n[s,1]$ induced by the solution of

$$dx_t = a(t,x_t) dt + b(t,x_t) dB_t$$
 (4.1)

Let ρ_X be the measure induced on the space $C_n[s,1]$ by the solution of

$$dx_{t} = b(t,x_{t}) dB_{t}$$
 (4.10)

Let ρ_{Υ} be the measure on the space $C_m[s,l]$ induced by the solution of

$$dy(t,\omega) = h(t,y(t,\omega)) \stackrel{\sim}{dB}(t,\omega)$$
 (4.11)

Let μ_{XXY} be the measure on the space $C_{n+m}[s,1]$ induced by the solution of

$$dx_{t} = a(t,x_{t}) dt + b(t,x_{t}) dB_{t}$$
 (4.1)

$$dy_{t} = g(t,x_{t},y_{t}) dt + h(t,y_{t}) d\tilde{B}_{t}$$
 (4.2)

We also define the real-valued functions ϕ_t and ψ_t using Girsanov's theorem (Theorem 1.3)

$$\phi_{t}(x_{u}, s \leq u \leq t) = \exp \left[\int_{s}^{t} a^{T}(u, x_{u}) c^{-1}(u, x_{u}) dx_{u} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{t} a^{T}(u, x_{u}) c^{-1}(u, x_{u}) du \right]$$

$$(4.12)$$

$$\psi_{t}(x_{u}, y_{u}, s \leq u \leq t) = \exp \left[\int_{s}^{t} g^{T}(u, x_{u}, y_{u}) f^{-1}(u, y_{u}) dy_{u} \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{t} g^{T}(u, x_{u}, y_{u}) f^{-1}(u, y_{u}) g(u, x_{u}, y_{u}) du$$
(4.8)

where

$$\varphi_1 = \frac{d\mu_X}{d\rho_X}$$

and

$$\varphi_1 \psi_1 = \frac{d\mu_{XxY}}{d(\rho_X \times \rho_Y)}$$

By the martingale property of the density function (Lemma 3.5) we can write for $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}(x_u, s \le u \le t)$

$$\mu_{\mathbf{X}}(\Lambda) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu_{\mathbf{X}}}[\mathbf{I}_{\Lambda}] = \mathbb{E}_{\rho_{\mathbf{X}}}[\mathbf{I}_{\Lambda}\phi_{\mathbf{I}}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\rho_{\mathbf{X}}}[\mathbf{I}_{\Lambda}\mathbb{E}_{\rho_{\mathbf{X}}}[\phi_{\mathbf{I}}]B(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{u}}, s \leq \mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{t})]]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\rho_{\mathbf{X}}}[\mathbf{I}_{\Lambda}\phi_{\mathbf{t}}] = \int_{\Lambda}\phi_{\mathbf{t}} d\rho_{\mathbf{X}} \qquad (4.13)$$

and for $\Gamma \in B(x_u, y_u, s \le u \le t)$

$$\mu_{\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{\Gamma}) = \mathbf{E}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{Y}}}[\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}}] = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathbf{1}} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{1}}]$$

$$= \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} \mathbf{E}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathbf{1}} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{1}} | B(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{s} \leq \mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{t})]]$$

$$= \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathbf{t}} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{t}}] = \int_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathbf{t}} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{t}} d(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathbf{X}} \times \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathbf{Y}}) \qquad (4.14)$$

For notational simplicity we have not explicitly indicated the initial conditions x(s) and y(s). Our basic probability space Ω will be

induced from the independent Brownian motions $\{B_t\}$ and $\{\widetilde{B}_t\}$ and be on $C_{n+m}[s,l]$. Since all of our random functions depend only on $B_t, \widetilde{B}_t, x_t, y_t (t \in [s,l])$ it will suffice for our fundamental measure P to consider $\rho_X \times \rho_{Y^{\bullet}}$

1. An Expression for the Conditional Probability Measure

We first discuss the conditional probability measure in function space. The existence of this conditional probability measure follows from the usual arguments of conditional expectation, i.e., via the Radon-Nikodym theorem. We now derive an expression for the conditional probability.

Lemma 4.1. The conditional probability measure in function space for all $t \in T = [s, 1]$ is given by

$$P(\Lambda,t|\alpha,s,y_u, s \le u \le t) = \frac{E_{\rho_X}[I_{\Lambda}\phi_t\psi_t]}{E_{\rho_X}[\phi_t\psi_t]}$$
(4.15)

where $\Lambda \in B(x_+)$.

Proof.

Fix t ϵ [s,1]. Let ϕ_t and Ω_t be the empty set and the whole space in $C_n[s,t]$. Define the σ -algebra \mathcal{G}_t on $C_{n+m}[s,1]$ as

$$\mathcal{G}_{t} = \{ \phi_{t}, \Omega_{t} \} \oplus B(y_{u}, s \leq u \leq t)$$
 (4.16)

Let

$$\Pi_{\mathbf{v}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{t}} = B(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{u}}, \ \mathbf{s} \le \mathbf{u} \le \mathbf{t}) \tag{4.17}$$

SEL-67-035

which is a σ -algebra on $C_m[s,1]$. We have for $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_t$

$$P_{\Gamma}(\Lambda, \mathbf{t} | \alpha, \mathbf{s}) = \int_{\Gamma} I_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}_{t}) d\mu_{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}} = \int_{\Gamma} P(\Lambda, \mathbf{t} | \alpha, \mathbf{s}, \mathcal{G}_{t}) d\mu_{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}}$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma} I_{\Lambda}(x_{t}) \varphi_{t} \psi_{t} d(\rho_{X} \times \rho_{Y}) = \int_{\Gamma} P(\Lambda, t | \alpha, s, \mathcal{G}_{t}) \varphi_{t} \psi_{t} d(\rho_{X} \times \rho_{Y})$$
(4.18)

We have

$$E[P(\Lambda,t|\alpha,s,\mathcal{G}_t)\phi_t\psi_t|\mathcal{G}_t] = E[I_{\Lambda}\phi_t\psi_t|\mathcal{G}_t] \quad a.s. \quad (4.19)$$

$$P(\Lambda,t|\alpha,s,g_t)E[\phi_t\psi_t|g_t] = E[I_{\Lambda}\phi_t\psi_t|g_t] \quad a.s. \quad (4.20)$$

The last equation follows since $P(\Lambda,t|\alpha,s,g_t)$ is a g_t measurable function. We now consider the product measure $\rho_X \times \rho_Y$ and take iterated integrals using Tonelli's theorem. Since both sides of Eq. (4.20) are zero for the integral on ϕ_{t} , we have only the integral on Ω_{t} and for the R.H.S. of Eq. (4.20) it is

$$\int E_{\rho_{\mathbf{Y}}} [I_{\Lambda} \varphi_{\mathbf{t}} \psi_{\mathbf{t}} | \Pi_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{t}}] d\rho_{\mathbf{X}} = E[I_{\Lambda} \varphi_{\mathbf{t}} \psi_{\mathbf{t}} | \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{t}} = \Omega_{\mathbf{t}} \oplus \Pi_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{t}}] \qquad (4.21)$$

We consider the variable of integration on ρ_X as x_u , $s \le u \le t$. for a fixed value of this variable the product $~\textbf{I}_{\Lambda}\phi_{\textbf{t}}~$ is a constant and we have

$$\mathbf{E}_{\rho_{\mathbf{Y}}}[\mathbf{I}_{\Lambda}\phi_{\mathbf{t}}\psi_{\mathbf{t}}|\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathbf{Y}}\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{t}}] = \mathbf{I}_{\Lambda}\phi_{\mathbf{t}}\mathbf{E}_{\rho_{\mathbf{Y}}}[\psi_{\mathbf{t}}|\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathbf{Y}}\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{t}}] \quad \text{a.s.}$$

$$= \mathbf{I}_{\Lambda}\phi_{\mathbf{t}}\psi_{\mathbf{t}} \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (4.22)$$

$$77 \quad \text{SEL-67-035}$$

The last equality follows since ψ_t is a density function and therefore measurable with respect to $\Pi_Y \mathcal{G}_t$. Since ϕ_t and ψ_t are continuous in $\{x_u, s \leq u \leq t\}$ and I_Λ can be approximated in measure by continuous functions we need only consider polynomials with rational coefficients on $\{s,t\}$. So we only have a countable number of values for the process $\{x_t\}$ and since the uniform integrability condition can be easily verified for these functions we therefore have

$$\int E_{\rho_{\mathbf{Y}}} [I_{\Lambda} \varphi_{\mathbf{t}} \psi_{\mathbf{t}} | \Pi_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{t}}] d\rho_{\mathbf{X}} = \int I_{\Lambda} \varphi_{\mathbf{t}} E_{\rho_{\mathbf{Y}}} [\psi_{\mathbf{t}} | \Pi_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{t}}] d\rho_{\mathbf{X}} = \int I_{\Lambda} \varphi_{\mathbf{t}} \psi_{\mathbf{t}} d\rho_{\mathbf{X}}$$

$$(4.23)$$

Therefore

$$P(\Lambda,t|\alpha,s,\Pi_{Y}G_{t}) = \frac{E_{\rho_{X}}[\Pi_{\Lambda}\phi_{t}\psi_{t}]}{E_{\rho_{X}}[\phi_{t}\psi_{t}]} \quad a.s. \quad (4.24)$$

This was done for fixed t. Since the product $\varphi_t\psi_t$ can be represented by a stochastic integral (cf. Eq. (1.22)) it is continuous in t and of course the sample paths are continuous. Using the above result on a dense T set we can take a limit and easily verify uniform integrability.

Therefore we can obtain the result for all $t \in T$

$$P(\Lambda,t|\alpha,s,y_u, s \le u \le t) = \frac{E_{\rho_X}[I_{\Lambda}\phi_t\psi_t]}{E_{\rho_X}[\phi_t\psi_t]} \quad a.s. \quad (4.25)$$

2. An Expression for the Conditional Probability Density

In Chapter 2 we proved that the process $\{x_t\}$ has a transition density with respect to Lebesgue measure and that it could be represented as the fundamental solution of Kolmogorov's equations. To prove that the conditional density exists we necessarily use the existence of the transition density for the process $\{x_t\}$. The following representation for the conditional probability density has been obtained by others. Bucy [Ref. 7] obtained it without including a proof (assuming that the transition density existed) and Mortensen [Ref. 8] obtained it under more restrictive assumptions.

Lemma 4.2. Let p_{X} be the transition density corresponding to the process $\{x_t\}$. The conditional probability density with respect to Lebesgue measure exists and is given by the following equation

$$p(x,t|\alpha,s,y_u, s \le u \le t) = \frac{E_{\mu_X}[\psi_t|x_t = x]p_X(s,\alpha;t,x)}{E_{\mu_X}[\psi_t]}$$
(4.26)

where $t \in (s,1]$.

Proof.

Fix t ϵ (s,1]. Let $\Lambda \in B(x_t)$.

$$E_{\rho_{X}}[I_{\Lambda}\phi_{t}\psi_{t}] = E_{\mu_{X}}[I_{\Lambda}\psi_{t}] = E_{\mu_{X}}[E_{\mu_{X}}[I_{\Lambda}(x_{t})\psi_{t}|x_{t}]]$$

$$= E_{\mu_{X}}[I_{\Lambda}(x_{t})E_{\mu_{X}}[\psi_{t}|x_{t}]]$$

$$= \int I_{\Lambda}(x_{t})E_{\mu_{Y}}[\psi_{t}|x_{t}] d\mu_{X} \qquad (4.27)$$

The last integral reduces to an integral over g^n since the integrand depends only on x_t . So we have the measure $P_X(s,\alpha;t,dx)$ induced from $d\mu_X$.

We therefore have

$$E_{\mu_{X}}[I_{\Lambda}\psi_{t}] = \int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}} I_{\Lambda}(x)E_{\mu_{X}}[\psi_{t}|x_{t} = x]P_{X}(s,\alpha;t,dx) \qquad (4.28)$$

Since $P_{\mathbf{X}}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure we have

$$E_{\mu_{\mathbf{X}}}[\mathbf{I}_{\Lambda}\psi_{\mathbf{t}}] = \int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}} \mathbf{I}_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}) E_{\mu_{\mathbf{X}}}[\psi_{\mathbf{t}}|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}} = \mathbf{x}] p_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{s},\alpha;\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \qquad (4.29)$$

Since this was done for arbitrary $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x}_t)$ by the Radon-Nikodym theorem we have

$$p_{X}(x,t|\alpha,s,y_{u}, s \leq u \leq t) = \frac{E_{\mu_{X}}[\psi_{t}|x_{t} = x]p_{X}(s,\alpha;t,x)}{E_{\mu_{X}}[\psi_{t}]} \quad \text{a.e.} \quad dx$$

$$(4.30)$$

The above result was obtained for fixed t. It follows easily that the result is true for a countable dense T set, say S. For arbitrary teT = sequence $\langle t_n \rangle$ with $t_n \to t$ and $t_n \in S$. Let $\Lambda_a = \{x_t < a\}$. For sets of this form, Λ_a , using the continuity of the sample paths $\{x_t\}$ and the uniform integrability of the sequence $\langle p_n \rangle$ (corresponding to t_n) we can establish the result. For an arbitrary set $\Lambda \in B(x_t)$ we can approximate by sets of the form Λ_a . Therefore we have the result for all $t \in \{s,1\}$.

3. A Stochastic Equation for the Conditional Probability Density

We shall now proceed to derive a stochastic equation for the evolution in time of the conditional probability density. To obtain this equation we first apply the stochastic differential rule to ψ_t in the function $\mathbf{E}_{\mu_X}[\psi_t|\mathbf{x}_t=\mathbf{x}]$ and obtain a Fubini-type result for an ordinary and a stochastic integral.

Lemma 4.3. For the function

$$E_{\mu_{X}}[\psi_{t}|x_{t} = x] = E_{\mu_{X}}\left[1 + \int_{s}^{t} \psi_{u}g_{u}^{T}f_{u}^{-1} dy_{u}|x_{t} = x\right] \qquad (4.31)$$

in the conditional probability density, the following result is valid $a_{\bullet}s_{\bullet}$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu_{X}} \left[\int_{s}^{t} \psi_{u} g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} dy_{u} | x_{t} = x \right] = \int_{s}^{t} \mathbb{E}_{\mu_{X}} [\psi_{u} g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} | x_{t} = x] dy_{u} \quad (4.32)$$

where g_u and f_u^{-1} correspond to $g(u,x(u,\omega),y(u,\omega))$ and $f^{-1}(u,y(u,\omega))$ respectively.

Proof.

Let

$$\Omega_{M} = \{\omega : \sup_{s \le t \le 1} |x_{t}| < M, \sup_{s \le t \le 1} |y_{t}| < M\}$$
(4.33)

Since $\{x_t\}$ and $\{y_t\}$ have continuous sample paths

$$P\left(\bigcap_{M} \Omega_{M}\right) = 1 = \lim_{M \to \infty} P(\Omega_{M}) \qquad (4.34)$$

The last equality follows since $\Omega_{M+1} \supset \Omega_{M^{\bullet}}$ Let $\Lambda \in B(\mathbf{x_t})$. By the boundedness of the integrand on Ω_{M} we can define the stochastic integral as a limit of step function approximations and the interchange of order of integrations is clearly valid for step functions since we are given $B(\mathbf{y_u}, \mathbf{s} \leq \mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{t})$. Therefore we have

$$\int_{\Lambda \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{M}}} \int_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{t}} \psi_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1} \, d\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{u}} \, d\mathbf{\mu}_{\mathbf{X}} = \int_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{t}} \int_{\Lambda \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{M}}} \psi_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1} \, d\mathbf{\mu}_{\mathbf{X}} \, d\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{u}} \quad \mathbf{a}_{\bullet} \mathbf{s}_{\bullet}$$
(4.35)

Recalling that the stochastic integral is ψ_{t} - 1 we can take the following limit and have the desired equality

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{\Lambda \cap \Omega_{M}} \int_{s}^{t} \psi_{u} g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} dy_{u} d\mu_{X} = \int_{\Lambda} \int_{s}^{t} \psi_{u} g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} dy_{u} d\mu_{X} \quad a.s. \quad \rho_{Y} \quad (4.36)$$

We therefore have

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{\Lambda \cap \Omega_{M}} \int_{s}^{t} \psi_{u} g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} dy_{u} d\mu_{X} = \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{s}^{t} \int_{\Lambda \cap \Omega_{M}} \psi_{u} g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} d\mu_{X} dy_{u} = e.s. \rho_{Y}$$
(4.37)

Recall that

$$\sup_{s \le t \le 1} E_{\mu_{X}}(x_{t}^{2}) < \infty$$

$$\sup_{s < t < 1} \mathbb{E}_{\mu_{XXY}} \left[x_t^2 y_t^2 \right] < \infty$$

and f > k > 0, and g is globally Lipschitz. By Fubini's theorem and the Dominated Convergence theorem it follows that

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{\Lambda \cap \Omega_{M}} \psi_{u} g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} d\mu_{X} = \int_{\Lambda} \psi_{u} g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} d\mu_{X} \quad a.s. \quad \mu_{Y} \quad (4.38)$$

where we use the continuity in t of the integrand and of the sample paths.

Let

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{M}} = \int_{\Lambda \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{M}}} \psi_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1} d\mu_{\mathbf{X}}$$
 (4.39)

$$f = \lim_{M \to \infty} f_{M} \qquad (4.40)$$

The sequence

$$\int f_{M} dy_{S}$$
, $\int f dy_{S}$ $M = 1,2,...$

is a martingale (w.r.t. $B(y_u, s \le u \le t)$) and using the martingale convergence theorem (Theorem 3.6) we have

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} \int f_M dy_s = \int f dy_s \qquad (4.41)$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\Lambda} \int_{s}^{t} \psi_{u} g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} dy_{u} d\mu_{X} = \int_{s}^{t} \int_{\Lambda} \psi_{u} g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} d\mu_{X} dy_{u} a.s. \mu_{Y} \qquad (4.42)$$

This was done for fixed t, but using the continuity in t of the stochastic integral we can obtain the result for all t \in T.

We will now derive a stochastic equation for the numerator of the conditional probability density and subsequently a stochastic differential equation for the conditional probability density.

Define the function r as follows

$$r(x,t|\alpha,s,y_u, s \le u \le t) = E_{\mu_X}[\psi_t|x_t = x]p(s,\alpha;t,x)$$
 (4.43)

Lemma 4.4. For all $t \in (s,l]$ a version of r is given by the following stochastic equation

$$r(x,t|\alpha,s,y_{u}, s \leq u \leq t) = p_{X}(s,\alpha;t,x)$$

$$+ \int_{s}^{t} p_{X}(u,x';t,x)g^{T}(u,x',y_{u})f^{-1}(u,y_{u})r(x',u|\alpha,s,y_{v}, s \leq v \leq u) dx' dy_{u}$$

$$(4.44)$$

Proof (cf. Mortensen, Ref. 8).

From the previous lemma (Lemma 4.3)

$$E_{\mu_{X}}\left[\int_{s}^{t} \psi_{u} g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} dy_{u} | x_{t}\right] = \int_{s}^{t} E_{\mu_{X}}\left[\psi_{u} g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} | x_{t}\right] dy_{u} \qquad (4.45)$$

Using this result and the stochastic differential rule for $\psi_{\mathbf{t}}$ (cf. Eq. (1.22)) we have

$$E_{\mu_{X}}[\psi_{t}|x_{t}=x] = 1 + \int_{s}^{t} E_{\mu_{X}}[\psi_{u}g_{x}^{T}f_{u}^{-1}|x_{t}=x] dy_{u} \qquad (4.46)$$

We will now give a characterization for the integrand of the above stochastic

integral, i.e., $\mathbf{E}_{\mu_{\mathbf{X}}} \left[\psi_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1} | \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}} = \mathbf{x} \right]$

$$E_{\mu_{X}} \left[\psi_{u} g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} | x_{t} = x \right] = E_{\mu_{X}} \left[E_{\mu_{X}} \left[\psi_{u} g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} | x_{t} = x, x_{u} = x^{4} \right] | x_{t} = x \right] \quad \text{a.s.} \quad \mu_{X}$$

$$(4.47)$$

Since we are given $B(y_u, s \le u \le t)$

$$E_{\mu_{X}} \left[\psi_{u} g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} | x_{t} = x \right] = E_{\mu_{X}} \left[g^{T} f_{u}^{-1} E_{\mu_{X}} \left[\psi_{u} | x_{u} = x', x_{t} = x \right] | x_{t} = x \right]$$

$$(4.48)$$

Since ψ_u is independent of x_t t > u $((\psi_u, x_u, y_u)$ is a Markov process) we have for the R.H.S.

$$= E_{\mu_{X}} \left[g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} E_{\mu_{X}} \left[\psi_{u} | x_{u} = x' \right] | x_{t} = x \right]$$

$$= \int_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{p_{X} \left(u, x'; t, x \right) p_{X} \left(s, \alpha; u, x' \right)}{p_{X} \left(s, \alpha; t, x \right)} g^{T} \left(u, x', y_{u} \right) f^{-1} \left(u, y_{v} \right) E_{\mu_{X}} \left[\psi_{u} | x_{u} = x' \right] dx'$$

$$= \frac{1}{p_{X} \left(s, \alpha; t, x \right)} \int_{\mathcal{R}} p_{X} \left(u, x'; t, x \right) g^{T} \left(u, x', y_{u} \right) f_{u}^{-1} r \left(x', u | \alpha, s, y_{v}, s \leq v \leq u \right) dx'$$

Multiply Eq. (4.49) by $p(s,\alpha;t,x)$ and use Eq. (4.46) which gives the result. To establish the result for all $t \in (s,1]$ we note the continuity in t of p_X and of the stochastic integral.

We shall now derive a differential expression for r. If we formally take the differential of the integral equation for r (Eq. 4.44) we have

(4.49)

$$\begin{split} &\operatorname{dr}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t}|\alpha,s,\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{u}},\ s\leq \mathbf{u}\leq \mathbf{t}) = \operatorname{dp}_{\mathbf{X}}(s,\alpha;\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{t}})\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{t}}) \operatorname{dy}_{\mathbf{t}} \\ &+ \int_{s}^{t} \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{\mathbf{n}}} \operatorname{dp}_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{x}';\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{u}})\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{u}})\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{u}|\alpha,s,\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{v}},\ s\leq \mathbf{v}\leq \mathbf{u}) \operatorname{dx'} \operatorname{dy}_{\mathbf{u}} \end{split}$$

For the differential of the double integral we would expect to obtain

$$g^{T}(t,x,y_{t})f^{-1}(t,y_{t}) dy_{t}$$

$$+\int\limits_{s}^{t}\int\limits_{\Re}^{dp} dp_{X}(u,x';t,x)g^{T}(u,x',y_{u})f^{-1}(u,y_{u})r(x',u|\alpha,s,y_{v},\ s\leq v\leq u)\ dx'\ dy_{u}$$

Clearly the only difficulty we have is in interchanging the integral and differential operations in the last term.

To justify this last result we first note that

$$E[\phi_t \psi_t] = 1$$

Thus by Fubini's theorem we can conclude that

$$E_{\mu_{X}}[\psi_{t}] < \infty$$
 Vt a.s. μ_{Y}

Note also that f is strictly positive definite and that

$$\sup_{s \le t \le 1} \mathbf{E}_{\mu_{XXY}} \left[\mathbf{x}_t^2 \mathbf{y}_t^2 \right] < \infty$$

Since the function r is, except for a normalizing constant, a conditional probability, the stochastic integral

$$\int_{s}^{t} \int_{a}^{T} g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} r(x', u | \alpha, s, y_{v}, s \leq v \leq u) dx' dy_{u}$$

is well defined and can be defined as the limit of step function approximations. Since the terms of dp_X are bounded (cf. the proof of Lemma 2.4) we use the step function approximations together with the Bounded Convergence theorem to conclude

$$d\int\limits_{s}^{t}\int\limits_{\Re} p_{x}(u,x';t,x)g^{T}(u,x',y_{u})f^{-1}(u,y_{u})r(x',u|\alpha,s,y_{v},\ s\leq v\leq u)\ dx'\ dy_{u}$$

$$= g^{T}(t,x,y_{t})f^{-1}(t,y_{t}) dy_{t}$$

$$+ \int_{s}^{t} \int_{\Re^{n}} dp_{x}(u,x';t,x)g^{T}(u,x',y_{u})f^{-1}(u,y_{u})r(x',u|\alpha,s,y_{s}, s \leq v \leq u) dx' dy_{u}$$

$$(4.50)$$

In the differential expression for r we can write the terms of dp_X as functions of r and its derivatives by using the integral equation for r (Eq. 4.44) and applying the techniques used to prove Eq. (4.50) to interchange the partial derivatives, that is, we obtain

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial(a_{i}(t,x)r)}{\partial x_{i}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial(a_{i}(t,x)p_{X}(s,\alpha;t,x))}{\partial x_{i}}$$

$$-\int_{s}^{t} \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{n}} \sum_{i=1} \frac{\partial(a_{i}(t,x)p_{X}(u,x';t,x))}{\partial x_{i}} g^{T}(u,x',y_{u})$$

$$f^{-1}(u,y_{u})r(x',u|\alpha,s,y_{u},s \leq v \leq u) dx' dy_{u}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}(c_{i,j}(t,x)r)}{\partial x_{i}\partial x_{j}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}(c_{i,j}(t,x)p_{X}(s,\alpha;t,x))}{\partial x_{i}\partial x_{j}}$$

$$+ \int_{s}^{t} \int_{\mathfrak{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}(c_{i,j}(t,x)p_{X}(u,x';t,x))}{\partial x_{i}\partial x_{j}} g^{T}(u,x',y_{u})$$

$$f^{-1}(u,y_{u})r(x',u|\alpha,s,y_{u},s \leq v \leq u) dx' dy_{u}$$

We therefore obtain

$$dr(x,t|\alpha,s,y_{u}, s \leq u \leq t) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}(c_{i,j}(t,x)r)}{\partial x_{i}\partial x_{j}}\right)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial(a_{i}(t,x)r)}{\partial x_{i}} dt + g^{T}(t,x,y_{t})r^{-1}(t,y_{t})r dy_{t} \qquad (4.51)$$

We now consider the denominator term in the expression for the conditional probability density. By our result for interchanging expectation and stochastic integral (Lemma 4.3) and by the continuity of the sample paths we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu_{\mathbf{X}}}[\psi_{\mathbf{t}}] = \mathbb{E}_{\mu_{\mathbf{X}}}\left[1 + \int_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{t}} \psi_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}\right] d\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{u}} = 1 + \int_{\mathbf{g}}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbb{E}_{\mu_{\mathbf{X}}}\left[\psi_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}\right] d\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{u}} \quad (4.52)$$

Let

$$q_t = E_{\mu_X}[\psi_t] \qquad (4.53)$$

$$r_{t} = r(x,t|\alpha,s,y_{u}, s \leq u \leq t)$$
 (4.54)

$$p_t = p(x,t|\alpha,s,y_u, s \le u \le t) = r_t q_t^{-1}$$
 (4.55)

We have calculated the differential for q_t^{-1} in an example in Chapter 1 (Eq. 1.27). It is the following

$$d(q_{t}^{-1}) = -q_{t}^{-2} dq_{t} + q_{t}^{-3} E_{\mu_{X}} [\psi_{t} g_{t}^{T}] f_{t}^{-1} E_{\mu_{X}} [\psi_{t} g_{t}] dt$$
 (4.56)

where

$$dq_{t} = E_{\mu_{X}} \left[\psi_{t} g_{t}^{T} \right] f_{t}^{-1} dy_{t}$$
 (4.57)

The differential for p_t is

$$dp_{t} = (dr_{t})q_{t}^{-1} + r_{t}(dq_{t}^{-1}) + g_{t}^{T}f_{t}^{-1}E_{\mu_{X}}[\psi_{t}g_{t}]q_{t}^{-2}r_{t} dt \qquad (4.58)$$

Define \hat{g}_t as

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_{t} = \frac{E_{\mu_{X}} [\psi_{t} \varepsilon_{t}]}{E_{\mu_{X}} [\psi_{t}]}$$
 (4.59)

Therefore

$$dp_{t} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}(c_{i,j}(t,x)p_{t})}{\partial x_{i}\partial x_{j}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial(a_{i}(t,x)p_{t})}{\partial x_{i}}\right)dt$$

$$+ \left(g^{T} - \hat{g}^{T}\right)f_{t}^{-1}\left(dy_{t} - \hat{g}_{t}\right)p_{t} \qquad (4.60)$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

With this equation we therefore have in principle the solution of a fairly general nonlinear filtering problem. This result is analogous to the expressions obtained by Bucy [Ref. 7], Kushner [Ref. 5], Mortensen [Ref. 8] and Shiryaev [Ref. 42].

C. CONDITIONAL MOMENTS

For some problems in filtering theory the diffusion matrix, b, for the state Markov process (Eq. 4.1) does not have an inverse and the conditional probability density may not exist because the transition density, p_X , may not exist. For such problems we can obtain a stochastic equation for the conditional moments and more generally for smooth functions of the process $\{x_+\}$.

We state our result in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\{x_{t}\}$ and $\{y_{t}\}$ satisfy

$$dx(t,\omega) = a(t,x(t,\omega)) dt + b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (4.61)

$$dy(t,\omega) = g(t,x(t,\omega),y(t,\omega)) dt + h(t,y(t,\omega)) d\tilde{B}(t,\omega) \qquad (4.62)$$

where $x_s = \alpha$, $y_s = 0$, $t \in [s,1]$, $\{B_t\}$ and $\{B_t\}$ are independent Brownian motions in \mathcal{R}^n and \mathcal{R}^m respectively and the drift vector $\mathbf{a}(t,x)$ and the diffusion matrix $\mathbf{b}(t,x)$ are continuous in t and globally Lipschitz continuous in x, the drift vector $\mathbf{g}(t,x,y)$ is continuous in t and globally Lipschitz continuous in x and y and the diffusion matrix $\mathbf{h}(t,y)$ is continuous in t and globally Lipschitz continuous in t and the symmetric matrix t and t is strictly positive definite.

Let γ be a real-valued twice continuously differentiable function defined on \mathfrak{A}^n such that

$$\iint_{\mathbf{T}\Omega} |\gamma(\mathbf{x}_{t})|^{2} dP dt < \infty$$
 (4.63)

$$\iint_{\mathbf{T}} |\mathbf{x}_{t} \mathbf{r}'(\mathbf{x}_{t})|^{2} dP dt < \infty$$
 (4.64)

$$\iint_{T} |x_t^2 \gamma''(x_t)| dP dt < \infty$$
 (4.65)

where the prime denotes differentiation. Then the conditional expectation of γ , $E[\gamma(x_t)|\alpha,s,y_u,\ s\leq u\leq t]$, denoted as $\hat{\gamma}_t$ satisfies

$$d\hat{r}_t = \mathcal{L}r(x_t) dt$$

+
$$(\widehat{r(x_t)}g(t,x_t,y_t) - \widehat{r(x_t)}\widehat{g(t,x_t,y_t)})^T f_t^{-1}(dy_t - \widehat{g(t,x_t,y_t)})^{dt})$$
 (4.67)

where for example,

$$\widehat{r(x_t)} = \frac{E_{\mu_X}[r(x_t)\psi_t]}{E_{\mu_X}[\psi_t]}$$
 (4.68)

$$\mathfrak{L}_{t,x}(\cdot) = \sum_{i} a_{i}(t,x) \frac{\partial(\cdot)}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j}(t,x) \frac{\partial^{2}(\cdot)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}$$
 (4.69)

 ψ_{t} is given by Eq. (4.8).

Proof.

By our results for the conditional probability (Lemma 4.1) we have

$$E[\gamma(x_t)|\alpha,s,y_u, s \le u \le t] = \frac{E_{\mu_X}[\gamma(x_t)\psi_t]}{E_{\mu_X}[\psi_t]}$$
(4.70)

As in our derivation of the conditional probability density we first consider the differential of the numerator of the R.H.S. of the above equation. We apply the stochastic differential rule to $\gamma(x_t)\psi_t$ to obtain

$$\gamma(\mathbf{x}_{t})\psi_{t} - \gamma(\mathbf{x}_{s})\psi_{s} = \int_{s}^{t} \psi_{u} \gamma(\mathbf{x}_{u}) g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} dy_{u} + \int_{s}^{t} \mathcal{I} \gamma(\mathbf{x}_{u}) \psi_{u} du$$

$$+ \int_{s}^{t} \psi_{u} \gamma'(\mathbf{x}_{u}) b_{u} dB_{u} \qquad (4.71)$$

With our assumption that

$$\iint_{T,\Omega} |x_t \gamma'(x_t)|^2 dP dt < \infty$$

and the fact that $\,\psi_u^{}\,\,$ is a density we have for almost all $\,y_u^{},\,\,s\leq u\leq t\,$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu_{X}} \left[\int_{s}^{t} \psi_{u} \gamma'(x_{u}) b_{u} dB_{u} \right] = 0 \qquad (4.72)$$

We have only to prove the following

$$E_{\mu_X} \int_{s}^{t} \mathcal{L} \Upsilon(x_u) \psi_u \, du = \int_{s}^{t} E_{\mu_X} \mathcal{L} \Upsilon(x_u) \psi_u \, du \qquad (4.73)$$

$$E_{\mu_{X}} \int_{s}^{t} \psi_{u} \gamma(x_{u}) g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} dy_{u} = \int_{s}^{t} E_{\mu_{X}} \psi_{u} \gamma(x_{u}) g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} dy_{u}$$
 (4.74)

to obtain our result.

The first equality (Eq. 4.73) follows directly from Fubini's theorem with the integrability assumptions made. The second result is more difficult but the proof is quite similar to the proof of Lemma 4.3. We state the result in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. The following interchange of order of integrations is valid a.s.

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{S}^{t} \psi_{u} \Upsilon(x_{u}) g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} dy_{u} d\mu_{X} = \int_{S}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \psi_{u} \Upsilon(x_{u}) g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} d\mu_{X} dy_{u} \qquad (4.75)$$

Proof.

Let

$$\Omega_{M} = \{\omega : \sup_{s \le t \le 1} |x_{t}| < M, \sup_{s < t < 1} |y_{t}| < M\}$$
 (4.76)

Since the processes $\{x_{\pm}\}$ and $\{y_{\pm}\}$ have continuous sample paths we have

$$P\left(\bigcup_{M}\Omega_{M}\right) = 1 = \lim_{M \to \infty} P(\Omega_{M}) \qquad (4.77)$$

The last equality follows since $\Omega_{M+1} \supset \Omega_{M^{\bullet}}$ By the boundedness of the integrand on Ω_{M} we can define the stochastic integral as a limit of step function approximations on this set. The interchange of order of integrations is clearly valid for step functions since we are given $B(y_{11}, s \leq u \leq t)$. Therefore we have

$$\int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{M}}} \int_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{t}} \psi_{\mathbf{u}} \Upsilon(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{u}}) \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1} d\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{u}} d\mathbf{\mu}_{\mathbf{X}} = \int_{\mathbf{S}} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{M}}} \psi_{\mathbf{u}} \Upsilon(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{u}}) \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1} d\mathbf{\mu}_{\mathbf{X}} d\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{u}}$$
(4.78)

Since $\gamma(x_u)$ is assumed square integrable on the product measure du x d μ_X and the other terms correspond to the density function we have

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{\Omega_{M}}^{t} \int_{s}^{t} \psi_{u} \gamma(x_{u}) g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} dy_{u} d\mu_{X} = \int_{\Omega}^{t} \int_{s}^{t} \psi_{u} \gamma(x_{u}) g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} dy_{u} d\mu_{X} \qquad (4.79)$$

Similarly we can show

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{M}}} \Psi_{\mathbf{u}} \Upsilon(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{u}}) \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1} d\mu_{\mathbf{X}} = \int_{\Omega} \Psi_{\mathbf{u}} \Upsilon(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{u}}) \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1} d\mu_{\mathbf{X}}$$
(4.80)

We can now obtain the following equality

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{\Omega_{M}} \int_{s}^{t} \psi_{u} \gamma(x_{u}) g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} dy_{u} d\mu_{X} = \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{s}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{M}} \psi_{u} \gamma(x_{u}) g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} d\mu_{X} dy_{u}$$

$$(4.81)$$

since the limit on the L.H.S. is well defined.

By the uniform integrability of the sequence $\langle f_{\underline{M}} \rangle$

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{M}} = \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{M}}} \psi_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{u}}) \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1} d\mu_{\mathbf{X}}$$
 (4.82)

we have

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{s}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{M}} \psi_{u} \gamma(x_{u}) g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} d\mu_{X} dy_{u} = \int_{s}^{t} \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{\Omega_{M}} \psi_{u} \gamma(x_{u}) g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} d\mu_{X} dy_{u}$$

$$(4.83)$$

Therefore we have

$$\int_{s}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \psi_{u} \gamma(x_{u}) g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} d\mu_{X} dy_{u} = \int_{\Omega} \int_{s}^{t} \psi_{u} \gamma(x_{u}) g_{u}^{T} f_{u}^{-1} dy_{u} d\mu_{X}$$
 (4.84)

Recall Eq. (4.56) where we have already calculated the differential for

$$\frac{1}{E_{\mu_X}[\psi_t]}$$

Combining this differential with our differential result for the numerator term $E_{\mu_v}[\gamma(x_t)\psi_t]$ we have

$$d\hat{\gamma}_{t} = \mathfrak{L}\gamma(x_{t}) dt$$

$$+ (\widehat{\gamma(x_{t})g(t,x_{t},y_{t})} - \widehat{\gamma(x_{t})g(t,x_{t},y_{t})})^{T} f_{t}^{-1} (dy_{t} - \widehat{g(t,x_{t},y_{t})}) dt) (4.85)$$

where for example,

$$\widehat{\gamma(x_t)} = \frac{E_{\mu_X}[\gamma(x_t)\psi_t]}{E_{\mu_X}[\psi_t]}$$
 (4.86)

This completes the proof of the theorem. \blacksquare

V. EVALUATION OF LIKELIHOOD FUNCTIONS

Some results for the detection of a stochastic signal in white Gaussian noise have been obtained where the likelihood function (Radon-Nikodym derivative) could be calculated recursively from a differential equation. The terms of this differential equation were related to quantities that arise in filtering problems. Schweppe [Ref. 15] considered the detection problem where the signal was generated by white noise into a finite dimensional linear system and formally showed the relation of a differential equation for the likelihood function to the linear filtering results of Kalman and Bucy. Sosulin and Stratonovich [Ref. 17] considered the detection problem where the signal was a diffusion process and formally related a differential equation for the likelihood function to the non-linear filtering problem.

In this chapter we shall rigorously derive a stochastic differential equation for the likelihood function of a stochastic signal (diffusion process) in white Gaussian noise and relate this to the results of Schweppe and Sosulin and Stratonovich. We shall also discuss detection problems of a stochastic signal (diffusion process) in correlated noise (diffusion process) obtaining, for some problems, necessary and sufficient conditions for nonsingular detection. For the nonsingular case we derive a differential equation for the evolution of the likelihood function by relating the detection problem to a detection problem with white noise.

A. A DIFFUSION PROCESS IN WHITE NOISE

We consider the two hypotheses detection problem of a stochastic signal (diffusion process) in white Gaussian noise described by the following stochastic differential equations

$$dy_{t} = H(t)x_{t} dt + d\widetilde{B}_{t} for \theta = 1$$

$$= d\widetilde{B}_{t} for \theta = 0$$
(5.1)

where

$$dx_{t} = a(t,x_{t}) dt + b(t,x_{t}) dB_{t}$$
 (5.2)

and t ϵ [s,1]. We furthermore assume

M. The initial conditions are $x(s) = \alpha$, y(s) = 0 and x_t is an n vector, y_t is an m x n matrix continuous in t and y_t and y_t are independent n and m dimensional Brownian motions respectively. The drift vector y_t and the diffusion matrix y_t are continuous in t and globally Lipschitz continuous in x. The prior probabilities are y_t and y_t

1. A Differential Equation for the Likelihood Function

We define the following measures as we did for the nonlinear filtering problem. The measure μ_{X} is the measure induced on the space $\mathtt{C}_{n}[s,1]$ by the solution of

$$dx(t,\omega) = a(t,x(t,\omega)) dt + b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (5.2)

where te[s,1] and x(s) = α . The measure ρ_Y is the Wiener measure induced on $C_m[s,1]$ by the solution of

$$dy(t,\omega) = d\widetilde{B}(t,\omega)$$
 (5.3)

where t ϵ [s,1] and y(s) = 0. The measure μ_{XxY} is the measure induced on the space $C_{n+m}[s,1]$ by the solutions of

$$dx(t,\omega) = a(t,x(t,\omega)) dt + b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (5.2)

$$dy(t,\omega) = H(t)x(t,\omega) dt + d\tilde{B}(t,\omega)$$
 (5.4)

where $t \in [s,1]$, $x(s) = \alpha$ and y(s) = 0.

We define the function ψ_{\pm} as

$$\psi_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{s} \leq \mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{t}) = \exp \left[\int_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{T}} d\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{u}} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{u}} d\mathbf{u} \right]$$
 (5.5)

where

$$u_1 = \frac{d\mu_{XxY}}{d(\mu_X \times \rho_Y)} \tag{5.6}$$

We now derive an expression for the likelihood function for the detection problem (Eq. 5.1).

Lemma 5.1. The likelihood function, Λ_t , for the detection problem, Eq. (5.1), where we assume H is given by

$$\Lambda_{t} = \mathbb{E}_{\mu_{X}}[\psi_{t}] \tag{5.7}$$

where ψ_t is given by Eq. (5.5).

Proof.

The likelihood function, $\Lambda_{\rm t}$, is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of the measures, say $\rho_{\rm l}$ and $\rho_{\rm O}$, corresponding to the two hypotheses

 $\theta = 1$ and $\theta = 0$, i.e.,

$$\Lambda_{t} = \frac{d\rho_{1}}{d\rho_{0}} \tag{5.8}$$

where the measures are functionals of the sample paths to time t. Let $\Gamma \in {\it B}(y_u, \ s \le u \le t).$ Then

$$\rho_{1}(\Gamma) = \int \int_{\Gamma} \psi_{t} d(\mu_{X} \times \rho_{0})$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma} E_{\mu_{X}} [\psi_{t}] d\rho_{0}$$
(5.9)

By definition of the Radon-Nikodym derivative we have

$$\Lambda_{t} = E_{\mu_{X}}[\psi_{t}] \qquad \blacksquare \tag{5.10}$$

With an expression for the likelihood function we are able to derive a stochastic equation for a monotonic function of the likelihood function.

Theorem 5.1. Consider the two hypotheses detection problem

$$dy_{t} = H(t)x_{t} dt + dB_{t} for \theta = 1$$

$$= dB_{t} for \theta = 0$$
(5.1)

where we assume $\mbox{M.}$ Let $\mbox{$\Lambda_{t}$}$ be the likelihood function for this detection problem.

Then the process $\{z_{t}\}$ defined as

$$z_{t} = \ln \Lambda_{t} \tag{5.11}$$

SEL-67-035

satisfies the following stochastic differential equation

$$dz_{t} = \hat{x}_{t}^{T} H_{t}^{T} dy_{t} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{x}_{t}^{T} H_{t}^{T} H_{t} \hat{x}_{t} dt \qquad (5.12)$$

where

$$\hat{x}_{t} = \frac{E_{\mu_{X}} [\psi_{t} x_{t}]}{E_{\mu_{X}} [\psi_{t}]}$$
 (5.13)

is the conditional mean of x_t given $\{y_u, s \le u \le t\}$ (cf. Eq. 4.67).

Proof.

We apply the stochastic differential rule (Theorem 1.2) to

$$z_{t} = \ln \Lambda_{t} \tag{5.11}$$

and obtain

$$dz_{t} = \frac{d\Lambda_{t}}{\Lambda_{t}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(d\Lambda_{t})^{2}}{\Lambda_{t}^{2}}$$
 (5.14)

The terms $d\Lambda_t$ and $(d\Lambda_t)^2$ have been calculated in an example of the stochastic differential rule in Chapter 1 (Eq. 1.27) and are

$$d\Lambda_{t} = E_{\mu_{x}} \left[\psi_{t} x_{t}^{T} H_{t}^{T} \right] dy_{t}$$
 (5.15)

$$\left(d\Lambda_{t}\right)^{2} = E_{\mu_{X}} \left[\psi_{t} \mathbf{x}_{t}^{T} \mathbf{H}_{t}^{T}\right] E_{\mu_{X}} \left[\psi_{t} \mathbf{H}_{t} \mathbf{x}_{t}\right] dt \qquad (5.16)$$

Therefore we have

$$dz_{t} = \hat{x}_{t}^{T} H_{t}^{T} dy_{t} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{x}_{t}^{T} H_{t}^{T} H_{t} \hat{x}_{t} dt \qquad (5.12)$$

where

$$\hat{x}_{t} = \frac{E_{\mu_{X}}[\psi_{t}x_{t}]}{E_{\mu_{X}}[\psi_{t}]} \qquad (5.13)$$

2. Comparison With Previous Results

The stochastic differential equation for $\{z_t\}$ (Eq. 5.12) is different in appearance from the equation

$$dz_{t} = \hat{x}_{t}^{T} H_{t}^{T} dy_{t} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{x}_{t}^{T} H_{t}^{T} H_{t} x_{t} dt \qquad (5.17)$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathbf{x}_{t}^{T}\mathbf{H}_{t}^{T}\mathbf{H}_{t}}\mathbf{x}_{t} = \frac{E_{\mu_{X}}\left[\psi_{t}\mathbf{x}_{t}^{T}\mathbf{H}_{t}^{T}\mathbf{H}_{t}\mathbf{x}_{t}\right]}{E_{\mu_{X}}\left[\psi_{t}\right]}$$
(5.18)

obtained by Schweppe [Ref. 15] and Sosulin and Stratonovich [Ref. 17]. This anomaly between the two equations for the likelihood function occurs because of the different definitions of stochastic integral and the convergence properties of discrete time versions of a stochastic equation [Refs. 9,43,44,45]. In Eq. (5.17), the "correlator" term (stochastic integral) has to be interpreted in the sense of Stratonovich [Ref. 9] while in our equation (Eq. 5.12) the stochastic integral has the K. Itô interpretation.

To clarify the relation between these two results we will indicate
the correction term. If we perform an integration by parts on the stochastic
integral

$$\int_{s}^{1} \hat{x}_{t}^{T} H_{t}^{T} dy_{t}$$
 (5.19)

(this integration by parts is valid since this stochastic integral can be defined as the limit of step function approximations, \hat{x}_t has a differential given by Eq. (5.24), and we assume dH/dt exists and is continuous) then the stochastic integral we obtain is

$$-\int_{s}^{1} y_{t}^{T} H_{t} d\hat{x}_{t}$$
 (5.20)

We shall consider the case of scalar observations (m = 1), and compute the correction term first for the Gaussian case.

Consider the K. Itô stochastic integral

$$\int \Phi(t, y_t) dy_t$$
 (5.21)

where

$$dy_{t} = g(t,y_{t}) dt + d\widetilde{B}_{t}$$
 (5.22)

Then if we add the term (cf. Ref. 9)

$$\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\partial \Phi(t, y_t)}{\partial y} dt$$
 (5.23)

to the stochastic integral we have the integral described by Stratonovich. Recalling the stochastic differential equation for $\hat{x}_t^{(i)}$, a component of \hat{x}_t

$$d\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t}^{(i)} = \mathcal{I}\mathbf{x}_{t}^{(i)} dt + \left(\mathbf{x}_{t}^{(i)}\mathbf{H}_{t}\mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}_{t}^{(i)}\mathbf{H}_{t}\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t}\right)^{T} \left(d\mathbf{y}_{t} - \mathbf{H}\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} dt\right)$$
(5.24)

and that in the linear case [Ref. 1] the function

$$x_{t}^{(i)}H_{t}x_{t} - \hat{x}_{t}^{(i)}H_{t}\hat{x}_{t}$$
 (5.25)

depends only on t, the correction term which we must add to our differential equation is

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int \left(\widehat{x_t^T H_t^T H_t x_t} - \widehat{x}_t^T H_t^T H_t \widehat{x}_t\right) dt \qquad (5.26)$$

which gives Schweppe's result.

To relate our result to the equation obtained by Sosulin and Stratonovich we heuristically describe a "generalized" Stratonovich integral motivated by the fact that we want the rules of transformation of ordinary calculus to apply to this integral. We use a result of K. Itô [Ref. 30] for the product of stochastic integrals

$$\int_{u}^{v} \zeta(t,\omega) dB(t,\omega) \int_{u}^{v} \eta(s,\omega) dB(s,\omega) = \int_{u}^{v} \zeta(t,\omega) \int_{u}^{t} \eta(s,\omega) dB(s,\omega) dB(t,\omega)$$

$$+ \int_{u}^{v} \eta(s,\omega) \int_{u}^{s} \zeta(t,\omega) dB(t,\omega) dB(s,\omega) + \int_{u}^{v} \zeta(t,\omega) \eta(t,\omega) dt \qquad (5.27)$$

whereas if these were ordinary integrals we would not have the last term.

We therefore "split" this ordinary integral between the two stochastic integrals as

$$\int_{u}^{v} \zeta(t,\omega) \int_{u}^{\xi} \eta(s,\omega) dB(s,\omega) dB(t,\omega) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{u}^{v} \zeta(t,\omega) \eta(t,\omega) dt$$

+
$$\int_{11}^{V} \eta(s,\omega) \int_{12}^{S} \zeta(t,\omega) dB(t,\omega) dB(s,\omega) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{12}^{V} \zeta(t,\omega) \eta(t,\omega) dt$$

Defining this as a Stratonovich integral we then have our correction term which reduces to the correction term (Eq. 5.23) for the diffusion process case. This correction term again is

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int \left(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{t}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{H}_{t}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{H}_{t} \mathbf{x}_{t} - \widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{t}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{H}_{t}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{H}_{t} \widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} \right) dt$$
 (5.28)

from Eq. (5.24). Therefore with this term our results correspond with the equation of Sosulin and Stratonovich.

B. A DIFFUSION PROCESS IN CORRELATED NOISE

Having established a stochastic differential equation for the likelihood function for the detection of a stochastic signal (diffusion process) in white Gaussian noise the obvious extension of these results is to the detection of a stochastic signal (diffusion process) in correlated noise (diffusion process). We describe a problem of this type by the following stochastic equations

$$y_t = H(t)x_t + z_t$$
 for $\theta = 1$

$$= z_t$$
 for $\theta = 0$
(5.29)

where $t \in [s,1]$ and

$$dx_{t} = a(t,x_{t}) dt + b(t,x_{t}) dB_{t}$$
 (5.30)

$$dz_{t} = g(t,z_{t}) dt + h(t) d\tilde{B}_{t}$$
 (5.31)

We furthermore assume

- $\widetilde{\underline{H}}$. The initial conditions are $x(s)=\alpha$, z(s)=0 and x_t is an n vector, z_t is an m vector, $\underline{H}(t)$ is an m x n matrix that is continuous in t and \underline{B}_t and $\widetilde{\underline{B}}_t$ are independent n and m dimensional Brownian motions respectively. The drift vectors $\underline{a}(t,x)$ and $\underline{g}(t,x)$ are continuous in t and globally Lipschitz continuous in x. The diffusion matrix $\underline{b}(t,x)$ is continuous in t and globally Lipschitz continuous in t and following the angle $\underline{h}(t,x)$ is continuous in t and $\underline{h}(t,x)$ is continuous in t and $\underline{h}(t,x)$ is continuous in t and $\underline{h}(t,x)$ is continuous in t. The derivative of $\underline{h}(t,x)$ is continuous in t.
- 1. Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for Nonsingular Detection

 We shall now obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for nonsingular detection for the problem described above (Eq. 5.29).

Theorem 5.2. Consider the detection problem

$$y_t = H(t)x_t + z_t$$
 for $\theta = 1$

$$= z_t$$
 for $\theta = 0$
(5.29)

where $t \in [s,1]$ and

$$dx_{t} = a(t,x_{t}) dt + b(t,x_{t}) dB_{t}$$
 (5.30)

$$dz_{t} = g(t,z_{t}) dt + h(t) d\tilde{B}_{t}$$
 (5.31)

and we furthermore assume H. For this detection problem to be non-singular it is necessary and sufficient that

$$H(t)b(t,x_t) = 0 (5.32)$$

Proof (Sufficiency).

It will be convenient to change the form of the above detection problem by describing the hypotheses by stochastic differential equations as

$$dy_{t} = H(t)a(t,x_{t}) dt + H(t)b(t,x_{t}) dB_{t} + g(t,y_{t} - H(t)x_{t}) dt$$

$$+ H'(t)x_{t} dt + h(t) d\widetilde{B}_{t} \qquad \text{for } \theta = 1 \qquad (5.33)$$

$$= g(t,y_{t}) dt + h(t) d\widetilde{B}_{t} \qquad \text{for } \theta = 0$$

Now let $H(t)b(t,x_t) \equiv 0$. Then the two hypotheses are

$$dy_{t} = H(t)a(t,x_{t}) dt + g(t,y_{t} - H(t)x_{t}) dt + h(t) d\tilde{B}_{t} \text{ for } \theta = 1$$

$$+ H'(t)x_{t} dt$$

$$= g(t,y_{t}) dt + h(t) d\tilde{B}_{t} \text{ for } \theta = 0$$

Since the process $\{x_t\}$ is generated by $\{B_t\}$ and h^{-1} exists we can easily modify Girsanov's Theorem (Theorem 1.3) to show that

$$\mu_{XxY} \ll \mu_X \times \rho_Y \tag{5.34}$$

where the measure ρ_{Υ} is the measure induced on $C_m[\,s,l\,]$ by the solution of

$$dy_{t} = g(t,y_{t}) dt + h(t) d\tilde{B}_{t}$$
 (5.35)

for t ϵ [s,1] and y(s) = 0. The measure μ_X is the measure induced on $C_n[s,1]$ by the solution of

$$dx_{t} = a(t,x_{t}) dt + b(t,x_{t}) dB_{t}$$
 (5.36)

for t \in [s,1] and x(s) = α . The measure μ_{XxY} is the measure induced on $C_{n+m}[s,1]$ by the solutions of

$$dx_{t} = a(t,x_{t}) dt + b(t,x_{t}) dB_{t}$$
 (5.36)

$$dy_t = H(t)a(t,x_t) dt + g(t,y_t - H(t)x_t) dt + h(t) dB_t$$
 (5.37)

for $t \in [s,1]$ and $x(s) = \alpha$, y(s) = 0.

Define $\psi_{\mathbf{t}}$ as the density function so that

$$\psi_1 = \frac{d\mu_{XxY}}{d(\mu_X \times \rho_Y)} \tag{5.38}$$

The form of this function ψ_{t} can be determined from Girsanov's theorem (Theorem 1.3).

The likelihood function, Λ_+ , follows from Lemma 5.1 as

SEL-67-035

$$\Lambda_{t} = \mathbb{E}_{\mu_{X}} [\psi_{t}]$$
 (5.39)

Proof (Necessity).

If b^{-1} exists we can show that we have a singular detection problem by using our result from Chapter 3 (Theorem 3.1) for the absolute continuity of measures corresponding to solutions of stochastic differential equations. Define the measure ρ_X as the measure induced on $C_n[s,1]$ by the solution of

$$dx(t,\omega) = b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (5.40)

for t ϵ [s,1] and x(s) = α , the measure ρ_{XxY} is the measure induced on $C_{n+m}[s,1]$ by the solutions of

$$dx(t,\omega) = b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega)$$
 (5.40)

$$dy(t,\omega) = H(t)b(t,x(t,\omega)) dB(t,\omega) + h(t) d\widetilde{B}(t,\omega)$$
 (5.41)

for $t \in [s,1]$ and $x(s) = \alpha$, y(s) = 0, and the measure μ_{XxY} is the measure induced on $C_{n+m}[s,1]$ by the solutions of

$$dx_{t} = a(t,x_{t}) dt + b(t,x_{t}) dB_{t}$$
 (5.42)

$$dy_{t} = H(t)a(t,x_{t}) dt + H(t)b(t,x_{t}) dB_{t} + g(t,y_{t} - H(t)x_{t}) dt + h(t) dB_{t}$$
+ H'(t)x_t dt (5.43)

The measure $\rho_{\mathbf{v}}$ is defined by Eq. (5.35).

By Theorem 3.1 it follows that

$$\rho_{XxY} \ll \rho_{X} \times \rho_{Y}$$

and

$$\mu_{\mathbf{X}} \equiv \rho_{\mathbf{X}}$$

therefore

$$\mu_{XxY} \not \leqslant \mu_{X} \times \rho_{Y}$$

If b⁻¹ does not exist we apply a result due to Wong and Zakai [Ref. 46] stated in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. Let $\{x_t\}$ satisfy

$$dx_{t} = \phi(t,x_{t}) dt + \Gamma(t,x_{t}) dB_{t}$$
 (5.44)

where $t \in [s,1]$, $x(s) = \alpha$ and $\phi(t,x)$ and $\Gamma(t,x)$ are continuous in t and globally Lipschitz continuous in x; x_t is an n vector and B_t is n dimensional Brownian motion.

Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)-1} \left(x_j(t_{i+1}^{(n)}) - x_j(t_i^{(n)}) \right)^2 = \sum_{r=1}^n \int_{s}^{1} \gamma_{jr}^2(t, x_t) dt \qquad (5.45)$$

in the mean where $\Gamma = \{\gamma_{i,j}\}$.

For the proof of this lemma the reader is referred to Wong and Zakai [Ref. 46].

Using Eq. (5.45) as our test statistic on the observations we are

able to determine if the signal is present or not and therefore have singular detection.

2. Reduction to a White Noise Detection Problem

In the nonsingular correlated noise detection problem we have for the two hypotheses

$$dy_{t} = H(t)a(t,x_{t}) dt + g(t,y_{t} - H(t)x_{t}) dt + h(t) d\widetilde{B}_{t}$$
 for $\theta = 1$

$$+ H'(t)x_{t} dt$$
 (5.46)
$$= g(t,y_{t}) dt + h(t) d\widetilde{B}_{t}$$
 for $\theta = 0$

As we mentioned in the proof of Theorem 5.2 an expression for the likelihood function (Eq. 5.39) can be calculated using Girsanov's Theorem (Theorem 1.3). We shall now show how to obtain a differential equation for the likelihood function by considering the detection problem as a white noise type of detection problem.

In the proof of Theorem 5.2 we defined the measures $\rho_{Y},~\mu_{X},~$ and $\mu_{XxY}~~(\text{cf. Eqs. 5.35, 5.36, 5.37}).~~\text{We now define the measure}~~\rho_{\widetilde{Y}}~~\text{induced}$ on $C_{m}[s,1]~~\text{by the solution of}$

$$dy_{t} = h(t) d\widetilde{B}_{t}$$
 (5.47)

for $t \in [s,1]$ and y(s) = 0. By Girsanov's Theorem (Theorem 1.3) we have

$$\rho_{\underline{Y}} <\!\!< \rho_{\underline{\widetilde{Y}}}$$

The likelihood function, $\Lambda_{\mbox{\scriptsize t}},$ with respect to the measure $\rho_{\mbox{\scriptsize \sim}}$ is Υ

$$\Lambda_{t} = E_{\mu_{X}}[\widetilde{\Psi}_{t}] \qquad (5.48)$$

where $\widetilde{\psi}_t$ is determined from ψ_t (Eq. 5.38) and $d\rho_Y/d\rho_{\widetilde{Y}}$. This result follows from the definition of the likelihood function (Eq. 5.8).

We define z_{t.} as

$$z_{t} = \ln \Lambda_{t} \tag{5.49}$$

and take the differential of z_t (using Eq. 5.14) to obtain a differential expression for z_t . We therefore have a white noise type of detection problem. Since the details are straightforward they will be omitted here.

3. Some Generalizations

We now consider a more general correlated noise detection problem with the hypotheses

$$y_t = H(t)x_t + G(t)z_t$$
 for $\theta = 1$

$$= G(t)z_t$$
 for $\theta = 0$
(5.50)

where G is a k x m matrix continuous in t and H is a k x n matrix continuous in t. The derivatives of H and G, H' and G', are continuous in t. We also assume $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}$. We shall make additional assumptions as we proceed.

For this correlated noise detection problem we shall discuss conditions for nonsingular detection. This discussion will be somewhat more informal than the discussion in the above section because we primarily want to SEL-67-035

indicate the methods. We again convert our hypotheses to stochastic differential equations

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y}_t &=& \mathrm{H}(t) \mathbf{a}(t, \mathbf{x}_t) \ \mathrm{d} t \,+\, \mathrm{H}(t) \mathbf{b}(t, \mathbf{x}_t) \ \mathrm{d} \mathbf{B}_t \,+\, \mathrm{G}(t) \mathbf{g}(t, \mathbf{z}_t) \ \mathrm{d} t \\ \\ &+\, \mathrm{G}(t) \mathbf{h}(t) \ \mathrm{d} \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_t & \text{for } \theta \,=\, 1 \end{array}$$

$$G(t)g(t,z_t) dt + G(t)h(t) dB_t for \theta = 0$$
(5.51)

Primarily for simplicity of discussion we shall assume that the observations are scalar, i.e., k=1.

To determine singularity or nonsingularity of the detection problem we shall consider a few cases. If $Hb \not\equiv 0$ then the detection problem is singular by Lemma 5.2. If $Hb \equiv 0$ and $Gh \not\equiv 0$ then the detection problem is nonsingular by applying Girsanov's Theorem (Theorem 1.3). If $Hb \equiv 0$ and $Gh \equiv 0$ we have the hypotheses

$$dy_{t} = H(t)a(t,x_{t}) dt + G(t)g(t,z_{t}) dt for \theta = 1$$

$$= G(t)g(t,z_{t}) dt for \theta = 0$$

Let

$$y_t' = \frac{dy_t}{dt} \tag{5.52}$$

Then

$$y'_t = H(t)a(t,x_t) + G(t)g(t,z_t)$$
 for $\theta = 1$

$$= G(t)g(t,z_t)$$
 for $\theta = 0$
(5.53)

We shall again convert these hypotheses to stochastic differential equations by applying the stochastic differential rule (Theorem 1.2). Here we assume the appropriate differentiability of the functions H, a, G, and g to apply the differential rule. The stochastic differential equations that we obtain are symbolically

$$dy_{t}' = \left(\frac{\partial(Ha)}{\partial t} + H \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} a + \frac{1}{2} H \frac{\partial^{2} a}{\partial x^{2}} b^{T}b\right) dt + H \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} b dB_{t}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\partial(Gg)}{\partial t} + G \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} g + \frac{1}{2} G \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial z^{2}} h^{T}h\right) dt + G \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} h d\widetilde{B}_{t} \quad \text{for } \theta = 1$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial(Gg)}{\partial t} + G \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} g + \frac{1}{2} G \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial z^{2}} h^{T}h\right) dt + G \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} h d\widetilde{B}_{t} \quad \text{for } \theta = 0$$

$$(5.54)$$

We again consider some cases. If

$$H \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{b} \neq 0 \tag{5.55}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \left\{ \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}} \right\} = \{\alpha_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}\}$$
 (5.56)

then the detection problem is singular by Lemma 5.2. If

$$H \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} b + H'b = 0 (5.57)$$

and

$$G \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} h + G'h \neq 0$$
 (5.58)

where

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial z} = \left\{ \frac{\partial g_{i}(t,z)}{\partial z_{j}} \right\} = \{ \gamma_{i,j} \}$$
 (5.59)

and if the nonzero terms of Eq. (5.59) are not a function of z_t , i.e., these terms arise from linear terms of g then the detection problem is nonsingular. If the nonzero terms of Eq. (5.59) are functions of z_t then the detection problem will be singular by applying Lemma 5.2. If

$$H \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{b} + H' \mathbf{b} = 0 \tag{5.60}$$

and

$$G \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} h + G'h = 0$$
 (5.61)

then we have the two hypotheses

$$dy'_{t} = \left(\frac{\partial(Ha)}{\partial t} + H \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} a + \frac{1}{2} H \frac{\partial^{2} a}{\partial x^{2}} b^{T}b + H''x_{t} + H'a\right) dt$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\partial(Gg)}{\partial t} + G \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} g + \frac{1}{2} G \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial z^{2}} h^{T}h + G''g\right) dt \quad \text{for } \theta = 1$$

$$dy'_{t} = \left(\frac{\partial(Gg)}{\partial t} + G \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} g + \frac{1}{2} G \frac{\partial^{2}g}{\partial z^{2}} h^{T}h + G''z_{t} + G'g\right) dt \quad \text{for } \theta = 0$$
(5.62)

Let

$$y_t'' = \frac{dy_t'}{dt}$$
 (5.63)

and we proceed as we did with y_t' by taking the differential of y_t' . With appropriate differentiability assumptions it seems reasonable that this procedure will terminate in a finite number of steps, though to prove this finite termination seems difficult. We have mainly included this discussion to indicate the methods to be used to determine if a detection problem is well posed, i.e., nonsingular.

REFERENCES

- 1. R. E. Kalman and R. S. Bucy, "New Results in Linear Filtering Theory,"

 J. Basic Engr. (ASME Trans), 83 D, (1961), pp. 95-108.
- 2. R. L. Stratonovich, "Conditional Markov Processes," Theor. Probability Appl., V, (1960), pp. 156-178.
- 3. R. L. Kashyap, "On the Partial Differential Equation for the Conditional Probability Distribution for Nonlinear Dynamic Systems With Noisy Measurements," Tech. Rept. No. 432, Division of Engineering and Applied Physics, Harvard University.
- 4. H. J. Kushner, "On the Dynamical Equation of Conditional Probability Functions, With Applications to Optimum Stochastic Control Theory,"

 J. Math. Anal. Appl., 8, (1964), pp. 332-344.
- 5. H. J. Kushner, "On the Differential Equations Satisfied by Conditional Probability Densities of Markov Processes, With Applications," SIAM

 J. Control, 2, (1964), pp. 106-119
- 6. H. J. Kushner, "Dynamical Equations for Optimum Nonlinear Filtering,"

 J. Differential Equations, 3, (1967), pp. 171-190.
- 7. R. S. Bucy, "Nonlinear Filtering Theory," <u>IEEE Trans. Automatic</u>
 Control, AC-10, (1965), p. 198.
- 8. R. E. Mortensen, "Optimal Control of Continuous-Time Stochastic Systems," Rept. No. ERL-66-1, (1966), Univ. of California, Berkeley.
- 9. R. L. Stratonovich, "A New Representation for Stochastic Integrals,"

 Vestnick Moskov Univ., Ser. I, Mat. Meh., 1, (1964), pp. 3-12,

 translated in SIAM J. Control, 4, (1966), pp. 362-371.
- 10. Yu. V. Prohorov, "Convergence of Random Processes and Limit Theorems in Probability Theory," Theor. Probability Appl., 1, (1956),

- pp. 157-214, especially pp. 207-212.
- 11. R. H. Cameron and W. T. Martin, "The Behavior of Measure and Measurability Under Change of Scale in Wiener Space," <u>Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.</u>, 53, (1947), pp. 130-147. See also R. H. Cameron, "The Translation Pathology of Wiener Space," <u>Duke Math. J.</u>, 21, (1954), pp. 623-627.
- 12. A. V. Skorokhod, "On the Differentiability of Measures Which Correspond to Stochastic Processes. I. Processes With Independent Increments,"

 Theor. Probability Appl., II, (1957), pp. 407-432.
- 13. A. V. Skorokhod, "On the Differentiability of Measures Corresponding to Random Processes. II. Markov Processes," Theor. Probability Appl., V, (1960), pp. 40-49. See also A. V. Skorokhod, Studies in the Theory of Random Processes, Addison-Wesley, 1965.
- 14. I. V. Girsanov, "On Transforming a Certain Class of Stochastic Processes by Absolutely Continuous Substitution of Measures," Theor. Probability

 Appl., V, (1960), pp. 285-301.
- 15. Fred C. Schweppe, "Evaluation of Likelihood Functions for Gaussian Signals," <u>IEEE Trans. Information Theory</u>, <u>IT-11</u>, (1965), pp. 61-70.
- 16. H. L. Van Trees, "Detection and Continuous Estimation: The Fundamental Role of the Optimum Realizable Linear Filter," paper presented at the Western Electronic Show and Convention, Aug 23-26, 1966.
- 17. Yu. Sosulin and R. L. Stratonovich, "Optimum Detection of a Diffusion Process in White Noise," <u>Radiotehn. i Elecktron.</u>, <u>10</u>, (1965), pp. 827-838, (Russian) translated as <u>Radio Engrg. Electron. Phys.</u>, <u>10</u>, (1965), pp. 704-714.
- 18. J. L. Doob, Stochastic Processes, John Wiley and Sons, Inc.,

- New York, 1953.
- 19. K. Itô, <u>Lectures on Stochastic Processes</u>, Tata Institute for Fundamental Research, Bombay, India, 1961.
- 20. M. Loève, <u>Probability Theory</u>, D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, 1963.
- 21. E. B. Dynkin, <u>Markov Processes</u>, (translation) Academic Press, New York, 1965.
- 22. E. B. Dynkin, <u>Theory of Markov Processes</u>, (translation) Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1961.
- 23. W. Feller, An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Vol. II, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1966.
- 24. A. T. Bharucha-Reid, Elements of the Theory of Markov Processes and Their Applications, McGraw-Hill, 1960.
- 25. N. Wiener, "Generalized Harmonic Analysis," Acta Math., 55, (1930) pp. 117-254, especially pp. 214-234, (references to the author's earlier work on the integral are given here).
- 26. K. Itô, On Stochastic Differential Equations, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 4, 1951.
- 27. H. L. Royden, Real Analysis, The Macmillan Co., New York, 1963.
- 28. J. L. Kelley, <u>General Topology</u>, D. Van Nostrand, Inc., Princeton, 1955.
- 29. I. I. Gikhman, "On the Theory of Differential Equations of Stochastic Processes, I," <u>Ukrain. Math. Z., 2</u>, no. 4, (1950), pp. 37-63. "On the Theory of Differential Equations of Stochastic Processes, II," <u>Ukrain. Math. Z., 3</u>, no 3, (1951), pp. 317-339, translated, <u>Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.</u>, Ser. 2, <u>1</u>, pp. 111-137, 139-161.

- 30. K. Itô, "On a Formula Concerning Stochastic Differentials," Nagoya Math. J., 3, (1951), pp. 55-65.
- 31. P. R. Halmos, Measure Theory, D. Van Nostrand, Inc., Princeton, 1950.
- 32. A. M. Il'in, A. S. Kalashnikov, O. A. Oleinik, "Linear Equations of the Second Order of Parabolic Type," Russian Math. Surveys, 17, (1962), pp. 1-143.
- 33. A. Friedman, <u>Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type</u>,

 Prentice-Hall. 1964.
- 34. S. D. Eidelman, "Fundamental Solutions of Parabolic Systems, II,"

 Mat. Sb. (N.S.), 53, (95), (1961), pp. 73-136, translated, Amer. Math.

 Soc. Transl., Ser 2, 41, pp. 49-121.
- 35. M. Krzyżański and A. Szybiak, "Construction et Etude de la Solution Fondamentale de l'Equation Lineare du Type Parabolique Dent le Dernier Coefficient est Non Borné," Atti Dell' Accad. Naz. dei Lincei, Cl. di Sc. Fisiche, Mat. e Natur., Ser. VIII, 27, (1959), pp. 1-10.
- 36. S. Itô, "Fundamental Solutions of Parabolic Differential Equations and Boundary Value Problems," <u>Japan. J. Math.</u>, <u>27</u>, (1957), pp. 55-102.
- 37. D. G. Aronson and P. Besala, "Parabolic Equations With Unbounded Coefficients," J. Differential Equations, 3, (1967), pp. 1-14.
- 38. P. A. Meyer, Probability and Potentials, Blaisdell, 1966.
- 39. K. Itô, "Multiple Wiener Integral," <u>J. Math. Soc. Japan</u>, <u>3</u>, (1951), pp. 157-169.
- 40. K. Itô and M. Nisio, "On Stationary Solutions of a Stochastic Differential Equation," J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 4, (1964-1965), pp. 1-75.
- 41. W. M. Wonham, "Some Applications of Stochastic Differential Equations to Optimal Nonlinear Filtering," SIAM J. Control, 2, (1965), pp. 347-369.

- 42. A. N. Shiryaev, "On Stochastic Equations in the Theory of Conditional Markov Processes," Theor. Probability Appl., XI, (1966), pp. 179-184.
- 43. E. Wong and M. Zakai, "On the Relationship Between Ordinary and Stochastic Differential Equations," <u>Internat. J. Engrg. Sci.</u>, 3, (1965), pp. 213-229.
- 44. E. Wong and M. Zakai, "On the Convergence of Ordinary Integrals to Stochastic Integrals," Ann. Math. Statist., 36, (1965), pp. 1560-1564.
- 45. E. Wong and M. Zakai, "Riemann-Stieltjes Approximations of Stochastic Integrals," Memo. No. ERL-M207, (1966), Univ. of California, Berkeley.
- 46. E. Wong and M. Zakai, "The Oscillation of Stochastic Integrals,"

 Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verw. Gebiete, 4, (1965), pp. 103-112.

JOINT SERVICES ELECTRONICS PROGRAM STANFORD ELECTRONICS LABORATORIES REPORTS DISTRIBUTION LIST March 1967

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Dr. Edward M. Reilley Asst. Director (Research) Office of Defense Res & Eng Department of Defense Washington, D.C. 20301

Office of Deputy Director (Research and Information Rm 3D1037) Department of Defense The Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20301

Director Advanced Research Projects Agency Department of Defense Washington, D.C. 20301

Director for Materials Sciences Advanced Research Projects Agency Department of Defense Washington, D.C. 20301

Headquarters
Defense Communications Agency (333)
The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20305

Defense Documentation Center Attn: TISIA Cameron Station, Bldg. 5 Alexandria, Virginia 22314 (20 cys)

Director
National Security Agency
Attn: Librarian C-332
Fort George G. Meade, Maryland 20755

Weapons Systems Evaluation Group Attn: Daniel W. McElwre Department of Defense Washington, D.C. 20305 National Security Agency
Attn: R4-James Tippet
Office of Research
Fort George G. Meade, Maryland 20755

Central Intelligence Agency Attn: OCR/DD Publications Washington, D.C. 20505

Institute for Defense Analyses 400 Army-Navy Drive Arlington, Virginia 22202

Office of the Dir. of Defense Research and Engineering Advisory Group on Electron Devices 346 Broadway, 8th Floor New York, New York 10013

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

AFRSTE (Col. Kee) Hqs. USAF Room 1D-429, The Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20330

Col. A. Swan Aerospace Medical Div. Brooks AFB, Texas 78235

AUL3T-9663 Maxwell AFB, Alabama 36112

AFFTC (FTBPP-2)
Technical Library
Edwards AFB, California 93523

Space Systems Division
Air Force Systems Command
Attn: SSSD
Los Angeles Air Force Station
Los Angeles, California 90045

NOTE: 1 CY TO EACH ADDRESSEE UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED

Maj. Charles Waespy Technical Div Deputy for Technology SSD, AFSC Los Angeles, Calif. 90045

SSD (SSTRT/Lt. Starbuck) **AFUPO** Los Angeles, California 90045

DET #6, OAR (LOOAR) Air Force Unit Post Office Los Angeles, California 90045

Systems Engineering Group (RTD) Technical Information Reference Branch Attn: SEPIR Directorate of Engineering Standards AFCRL Research Library, Stop 29 & Technical Information Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

ARL (ARIY) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 Attn: Dr. H. V. Noble Attn: Mr. Peter Murray

AFAL (AVT) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

AFAL (AVTE/R.D. Larson) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

Commanding General Attn: STEWS-WS-VT White Sands Missile Range New Mexico 88002 (2 cys)

RADC (EMLAL-1) Attn: Documents Library Griffiss AFB, New York 13442

Academy Library (DFSLB) U.S. Air Force Academy Colorado 80840

Lt. Col. Bernard S. Morgan FJSRL, USAF Academy Colorado Springs, Colorado 80912

APGC (PGBPS-12) Eglin AFB, Florida 32542

AFETR Technical Library (ETV, MU-135) Patrick AFB, Florida 32925

AFETR (ETLLG-1) STINFO Officer (For Library) Patrick AFB, Florida 32925

Dr. L. M. Hollingsworth AFCRL (CRN) L.G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Mass. 01731

AFCRL (CRMXLR) L.G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Mass. 01731

Department of the Air Force AFSC Scientific and Technical Liaison Office 111 East 16th Street New York, New York 10003

Col. Robert E. Fontana EE Dept. AF Institute of Technology Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

Col. A. D. Blue RTD(RTTL) Bolling AFB, Wash. D.C. 20332

ESD (ESTI) L.G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Mass. 01731 (2 cys)

Dr. I. R. Mirman AFSC(SCT) Andrews AFB, Md. 20331

Col. J. D. Warthman AFSC (SCTR) Andrews AFB, Md. 20331

Lt. Col. J. L. Reeves AFSC (SCBB) Andrews AFB, Md. 20331 (2 cys)

Page 2 ONR (83) 3/67

AEDC (ARO, Inc.) Attn: Library/Documents Arnold AFB, Tenn. 37389

European Office of Aerospace Res. Shell Building 47 Rue Cantersteen Brussels, Belgium (2 cys)

Lt. Col. R. Kalsich Chief, Electronics Div. (SREE) Directorate of Engineering Sci. Air Force Office of Sci. Res. 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209 (5 cys)

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

U.S. Army Research Office Attn: Physical Sciences Division 3045 Columbia Pike Arlington, Virginia 22204

Commanding General
U.S. Army Materiel Command
Attn: AMCRD- TP
Washington, D.C. 20315

Commanding General
U.S. Army Strategic Communications
Command
Washington, D.C. 20315

Commanding Officer U.S. Army Materials Research Watertown Arsenal Watertown, Massachusetts 02172

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Ballistics Research Lab.
Attn: V.W. Richards
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen, Maryland 21005

Commandant
U.S. Army Air Defense School
Attn: Missile Sciences Div.
C & S Dept.
P.O. Box 9390
Fort Bliss, Texas 79916

Commanding General U.S. Army Missile Command Attn: Technical Library Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809

Commanding General
Frankford Arsenal
Attn: SMUFA-L6000 (Dr. Sidney Ross)
Philadelphia, Pa. 19137

U.S. Army Munitions Command Attn: Technical Information Branch Picatinney Arsenal Dover, New Jersey 07801

Commanding Officer
Harry Diamond Laboratories
Attn: Dr. Berthold Altman(AMXDO-TI)
Connecticut Ave. and Van Ness Street
N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20438

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Security Agency
Arlington Hall
Arlington, Virginia 22212

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Limited War Laboratory
Attn: Technical Director
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen, Maryland 21005

Commanding Officer Human Engineering Laboratories Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005

Director
U.S. Army Engineer Geodesy,
Intelligence and Mapping
Research and Development Agency
Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060

Commandant
U.S. Army Command and General
Staff College
Attn: Secretary
Fort Leavenworth, Kansas 66270

Dr. H. Robl, Deputy Chief Scientist U.S. Army Research Office (Durham) Box CM, Duke Station Durham, North Carolina 27706

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Research Office (Durham)
Attn: CRD-AA-IP (Richard O. Ulsh)
Box CM, Duke Station
Durham, North Carolina 27706

Librarian U.S. Military Academy West Point, New York 10996

The Walter Reed Institute of Res. Walter Reed Medical Center Washington, D.C. 20012

CO, U.S. Army Electronics R and D Activity White Sands Missile Range N.M. 88002

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Engineering R & D Lab.
Attn: STINFO Branch
Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060

Dr. S. Benedict Levin, Director Institute for Exploratory Research U.S. Army Electronics Command Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703

Director
Institute for Exploratory Research
U.S. Army Electronics Command
Attn: Mr. Robert O. Parker
Executive Secretary,
JSTAC (AMSEL-XL-D)
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703

Commanding General
U.S. Army Electronics Command
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703
Attn: AMSEL-SC
RD-D

RD-G

Page 4 ONR(83) 3/67

THE PERSON NAMED IN COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF THE PERSON

Commanding General U.S. Army Electronics Command Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703

Attn: RD-GF RD-MAT XL-D XL-E XL-C XL-S HL-D HL-CT-R HL-CT-P HL-CT-L HL-CT-O HL-CT-1 HL-CT-A NL-D NL-A NL-P NL-R NL-S KL-D KL-E KL-S

KL-T

KL-SM

VL-D

WL-D
NOTE: 1 CY TO EACH SYMBOL LISTED
INDIVIDUALLY ADDRESSED

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

Chief of Naval Research Attn: Code 427 Washington, D.C. 20360 (3 cys)

Naval Electronics Systems Command ELEX 03 Falls Church, Va. 22046 (2 cys)

Naval Ship Systems Command SHIP 031 Washington, D.C. 20360

Naval Ship Systems Command SHIP 035 Washington, D.C. 20360

Naval Ordnance Systems Command ORD 32 Washington, D.C. 20360 (2 cys)

Naval Air Systems Command AIR 03 Washington, D.C. 20360 (2 cys)

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Research Branch
Office
Box 39, Navy No. 100 F.P.O.
New York, New York 09510 (2 cys)

Office of Naval Res. Branch Office Attn: Deputy Chief Scientist 1076 Mission Street San Francisco, California 94103 (2 cvs)

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Research Branch
Office
219 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604 (3 cys

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Research Branch
Office
1030 East Green Street
Pasadena, Calif. 91101

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Res. Branch Office
207 West 24th Street
New York, New York 10011

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Res. Branch Office
495 Summer Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02210

Director, Naval Research Laboratory Technical Information Officer Washington, D.C. Attn: Code 2000 (8 cys)

Commander
Naval Air Development and Material
Center
Johnsville, Pennsylvania 18974

Librarian U.S. Naval Electronics Laboratory San Diago, California 95152 (2 cys) Commanding Officer and Director U.S. Naval Underwater Sound Lab. Fort Trumbull New London, Connecticut 06840

Librarian U.S. Navy Post Graduate School Monterey, California 93940

Commander
U.S. Naval Air Missile Test Center
Point Mugu, California 93041

Director U.S. Naval Observatory Washington, D.C. 20390

Chief of Naval Operations OP-07 Washington, D.C. 20360 (2 cys)

Director, U.S. Naval Security Group Attn: G43 3801 Nebraska Ave. Washington, D.C. 20390

Commanding Officer
Naval Ordnance Laboratory
White Oak, Maryland 21502 (5 cys)

CO, Naval Ord. Lab Corona, Calif. 91720

Commanding Officer Naval Ordnance Test Station China Lake, California 93555

Commanding Officer Naval Avionics Facility Indianapolis, Indiana 46206

Commanding Officer Naval Training Device Center Orlando, Florida 32813

U.S. Naval Weapons Laboratory Dahlgren, Virginia 22448

Weapons Systems Test Division Naval Air Test Center Patuxtent River, Maryland 20670 Attn: Library

> Page 5 ONR (83) 3/67

Head, Tech. Div.
U.S. Naval Counter Intelligence Support Center
Fairmont Bldg.
4420 N. Fairfax Dr.
Arlington, Va. 22203

OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

Mr. Charles F. Yost Special Assistant to the Director of Research National Aeronautics and Space Admin. Washington, D.C. 20546

Dr. H. Harrison, Code RRE Chief, Electrophysics Branch National Aeronautics and Space Admin. Washington, D.C. 20546

Goddard Space Flight Center
National Aeronautics and Space Ad.
Attn: Library, Documents Section
Code 252
Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

NASA Lewis Research Center Attn: Library 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135

National Science Foundation Attn: Dr. John R. Lehmann Division of Engineering 1800 G Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20550

U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Tech. Information Ext. P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, Tenn. 37831

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory Attn: Reports Library P.O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544

NASA Scientific & Technical
Information Facility
Attn: Acquisitions Branch (S/AK/DL)
P.O. Box 33
College Park, Maryland 20740 (2 cys)

Commandant (E-2) U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters Library, Station 5-2 Washington, D.C. 20226

National Aeronautics and Space Admin. Electronics Research Center AT/Library 575 Technology Square Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Federal Aviation Agency Information Retrieval Branch, HQ-630 800 Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20553

U.S. Department of Commerce
National Bureau of Standards
Publications Editor
Center for Computer Sciences and
Technology
Washington, D.C. 20234

U.S. Department of Commerce Environmental Science Services Admin. Institute for Telecommunication Sciences and Aeronomy Boulder, Colorado 80301

NON-GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

Director Research Laboratory of Electronics Massachusetts Institute of Tech. Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn
Attn: Mr. Jerome Fox
Research Coordinator
333 Jay Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Director Columbia Radiation Laboratory Columbia University 538 West 120th Street New York, New York 10027

Director Coordinated Science Laboratory University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois 61803

Page 6 ONR(83) 3/67 Director Electronics Research Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California 94720

Director
Electronic Sciences Laboratory
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

Professor A.A. Dougal, Director Laboratories for Electronics and Related Sciences Research University of Texas Austin, Texas 78712

Division of Engineering and Applied Physics 210 Pierce Hall Harvard University Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Director Stanford Electronics Labs Stanford University Stanford, Calif. 94720

Director Microwave Laboratory Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

Director
Information Processing and Control
Systems Laboratory
Northwestern University
Evanston, Illinois 60201

Director
Electronic Systems Res. Laboratory
Purdue University
Lafayette, Indiana 47907

Aerospace Corporation Attn: Library Acquisition Group P.O. Box 95085 Los Angeles, California 90045

Professor Nicholas George California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California 91109 Aeronautics Library
Graduate Aeronautical Laboratories
California Institute of Tech.
1201 E. California Boulevard
Pasadena, California 91109

Director, USAF Project RAND Via: Air Force Office Liaison Office The RAND Corporation Attn: Library 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California 90406

The Johns Hopkins University
Applied Physics Laboratory
Attn: Boris W. Kuvshinoff
Document Librarian
8621 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Hunt Library Carnegie Institute of Technology Schenley Park Pittsburgh, Pa. 15213

Dr. Leo Young Stanford Research Institute Menlo Park, California 94025

Mr. Henry L. Bachmann Assistant Chief Engineer Wheeler Laboratories 122 Cuttermill Road Great Neck, New York 11021

School of Engineering Sciences Arizona State University Tempe, Arizona 85281

University of California at Los Angeles Department of Engineering Los Angeles, California 90024

California Institute of Technology Pasadena, Calif. 91109 Attn: Documents Library

University of California Attn: Library Santa Barbara, California 93106 Carnegie Institute of Technology Electrical Engineering Dept. Pittsburgh, Pa. 15213

New York University College of Engineering New York, New York 10019

Syracuse University
Dept. of Electrical Engineering
Syracuse, New York 13210

Yale University Engineering Department New Haven, Connecticut 06520

Airborne Instruments Laboratory Deer Park, New York 11729

Bendix Pacific Division 11600 Sherman Way North Hollywood, California 91605

Lockheed Aircraft Corp. P.O. Box 504 Sunnyvale, California 94088

Raytheon Co. Attn: Librarian Bedford, Mass. 01730

Emil Schafer, Head Electronics Properties Info Center Hughes Aircraft Co. Culver City, Calif. 90230 Texas Instruments Inc. Library Acquisitions Center, M/S - 284 P.O. Box 5474 Dallas, Texas 75222

The University of Wisconsin College of Engineering Dept. of Electrical Engineering Madison, Wisconsin 53706

General Electric Company Research and Development Center P.O. Box 8 Schenectady, New York 12301

The University of Adelaide Barr Smith Library Adelaide, South Australia

Westinghouse Electric Corporation Electronic Tube Division Box 284 Elmira, New York 14902

Fairchild Research & Development Lab. 4001 Junipero Serra Boulevard Palo Alto, California 94304

Bell Telephone Laboratories Electron Device Laboratory Mountain Avenue - Room 2A-229 Murray Hill, New Jersey 07971

Purdue University Libraries Periodicals Checking Files Lafayette, Indiana 47907

Ampex Corporation 401 Broadway Redwood City, California 94063 General Precision, Inc. Librascope Group 808 Western Avenue Glendale, California 91201

Sperry Rand Research Center North Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776

Westinghouse Electric Corporation Defense and Space Center Aerospace Division - MS-393 P.O. Box 746 Baltimore, Maryland 21203

Lockheed Propulsion Company Scientific & Technical Library P.O. Box 111 Redlands, California 92373

University of Utah Dept. of Electrical Engineering Microwave Device and Physical Electronics Laboratory Merrill Engineering Building Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

Director of Research AeroChem Research Laboratories, Inc. P.O. Box 12 Princeton, New Jersey 08540

Director of Research Motorola, Inc. Semiconductor Products Division 5005 East McDowell Road Phoenix, Arizona 85008

International Business Machines Corp. Vice-President - Engineering Electronics Systems Center Oswego, New York 13827

Stevens Institute of Technology Department of Physics Castle Point Station Hoboken, New Jersey 07030

The University of Michigan Institute of Science and Tech. Technical Documents Service Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107

Hughes Aircraft Company Library 500 Superior Avenue Newport Beach, California 92663

Director Engineering Design Center Case Institute of Technology 10900 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44106

Director Speech Communications Research Lab., Inc. 115 West Figueroa Street Santa Barbara, California 93104

Baird-Atomic Inc. Library 33 University Road Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Director Electronics Defense Laboratories Sylvania Electric Products, Inc. Library P.O. Box 205 Mountain View, California 94040

TRW Systems, Inc. Semiconductor Technology Section Microelectronics Center Bldg. 68, Room 2734 One Space Park Redondo Beach, California 09278

ITT Corporation ITT Electron Tube Division Easton, Pennsylvania 18043

Sylvania Electronics Systems Director of Engineering Eastern Operation 100 First Avenue Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

TRW Semiconductors, Inc. Research Director 14520 Aviation Boulevard Lawndale, California 90260 Director Communication & Display R&D Research & Development Center Westinghouse Electric Corporation Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15235

Raytheon Company Semiconductor Library 350 Ellis Street Mountain View Operation Mountain View, California 94040

McGill University Department of Electrical Engineering Montreal, Canada

North Carolina State University School of Engineering Dept. of Electrical Engineering Box 5275 Material Science Laboratory Raleigh, North Carolina 27607

Control of the contro

CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF

ITT Semiconductors
Product Laboratory
1801 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, California 94304

Raytheon Company Microwave and Power Tube Division Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

National Biomedical Res. Foundation 8600 Sixteenth Street Silver Springs, Maryland 20910

University of Nevada College of Engineering Dept. of Electrical Eng. Reno, Nevada 89507

University of California Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Technical Information Division Berkeley, California 94720 LFE Electronics
Electronics Division of Laboratory
for Electronics Inc.
1075 Commonwealth Avenue
Boston, Massachusetts 02215

ITT Federal Laboratories 500 Washington Avenue Technical Library Nutley, New Jersey 07110

Raytheon Company Research Division Library 28 Seyon Street Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

The University of Oklahoma College of Engineering School of Electrical Engineering Norman, Oklahoma 73069

Hughes Aircraft Company Hughes Research Laboratory 3011 Malibu Canyon Road Malibu, California 90265

The University of Wisconsin Laboratory of Neurophysiology Medical School 283 Medical Sciences Building Madison, Wisconsin 53706

Federal Scientific Corporation 615 West 131st Street New York, New York 10027

The University of Santa Clara The School of Engineering Dept. of Electrical Engineering Santa Clara, California 95053

Sprague Electric Company Research and Development Laboratories North Adams, Massachusetts 01247

Worcester Polytechnic Institute Dept. of Electrical Engineering Worcester, Massachusetts 01609 Westinghouse Electric Corporation Research Laboratories Churchill Borough Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15235

International Business Machines Corp. Monterey & Cottle Roads San Jose, California 95114

University of Notre Dame Dept. of Electrical Engineering Notre Dame, Indiana 46556

Radio Corporation of America RCA Engineering Library Moorestown, New Jersey 08057

Lockheed-Georgia Company Department 72-34, Zone 400 Research Information Marietta, Georgia

Varian Associates Technical Library 611 Hansen Way Palo Alto, California 94303

Princeton University
School of Engineering and
Applied Science
Princeton, New Jersey 08540

Vice President
Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc.
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07971

University of Illinois Dept. of Electrical Engineering Urbana, Illinois 61801

Mr. John J. Sie, President Micro State Electronics Corp. 152 Floral Avenue Murray Hill, New Jersey 07971 University of Alberta Department of Physics Edmonton, Alberta Canada

Staff Vice President Materials and Device Research Radio Corporation of America David Sarnoff Research Center Princeton, New Jersey 08540

Information Technology Library AUERBACH Corporation 121 North Broad Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107 一つのはないとうとして こうかんかん

Philco Corporation
Module Engineering Department
Microelectronics Division
504 South Main Street
Spring City, Pennsylvania 19475

Motorola, Inc. Government Electronics Division 8201 East McDowell Road Scottsdale, Arizona 85252

Librarian Microwave Associates, Inc. Northwest Industrial Park Burlington, Massachusetts 01803

Professor A. S. Vander Vorst Laboratoire d'Electronique Universite de Louvain 94, Kardinaal Mercierlaan Heverlee - Leuven, Belgium

United Aircraft Corporation Norden Division Technical Library Norwalk, Connecticut 06851 Watkins-Johnson Company 3333 Hillview Avenue Stanford Industrial Park Palo Alto, California 94304

The Ohio State University
Dept. of Electrical Engineering
2024 Neil Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43210

University of Florida College of Engineering Dept. of Electrical Engineering Gainesville, Florida 32603 Georgia Institute of Technology Price Gilbert Memorial Library Atlanta, Georgia 30332

61

Sandia Corporation Technical Library P.O. Box 5800, Sandia Base Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115

Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Inc. of Cornell University P.O. Box 235
Buffalo, New York 14221

The University of Western Australia Dept. of Electrical Engineering Nedlands, Western Australia

Radio Corporation of America Manager of Engineering 415 South 5th Street Harrison, New Jersey 07029

General Telephone & Electronics Laboratories, Inc. 208-20 Willets Point Boulevard Bayside, New York 11360

The Boeing Company
Office of the Vice President
Research and Development
P.O. Box 3707
Seattle, Washington 98124

Professor R.E. Vowels, Head School of Electrical Engineering The University of N.S.W. Box 1 P.O. Kensington, N.S.W. Australia

The George Washington University School of Engineering and Applied Sci. Department of Electrical Engineering Washington, D.C. 20006

AUTONETICS
Research and Engineering Division
Technical Information Center
P.O. Box 4173
3370 Miraloma Avenue

Anaheim, California 92803

Martin-Marietta Corporation Martin Company, Baltimore Division Chief of Libraries Baltimore, Maryland 21203

Sperry Gyroscope Company Division of Sperry Rand Corporation Head, Engineering Department Countermeasures Engineering Great Neck, New York 11020

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Dept. of Electrical Engineering Russell Sage Laboratory Troy, New York 12181

General Electric Company Liaison Engineer Computer Laboratory P.O. Box 1285 Sunnyvale, California 94088

Page 12 ONR(83) 3/67 Electro-Optical Systems, Inc. Micro-Data Operations 300 North Halstead Street Pasadena, California 91107

W

Manager Systems Engineering Radio Corporation of America Communications Systems Division Front and Market Streets, Bldg. 17C-6 Camden, New Jersey 08102

Engineering Librarian Varian Associates LEL Division Akron Street Copiague, New York 11726

Dr. Alan M. Schneider
University of California, San Diego
Department of the Aerospace and
Mechanical Engineering Sciences
P.O. Box 109
La Jolla, California 92038

University of Illinois Dept. of Computer Science Digital Computer Laboratory Room 230 Urbana, Illinois 61803

University of Chicago Institute for Computer Research 5640 South Ellis Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60637

Scientific Advisor Lockheed Electronics Company Military Systems Plainfield, New Jersey 07060

California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Laboratory Library Supervisor 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, California 91103

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indusing annotation must be entered when the everall report is absorbed

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)

Stanford Electronics Labs Stanford University Stanford, Calif. 2. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
Unclassified

26. GROUP

3. REPORT TITLE

PROBABILITY DENSITIES FOR DIFFUSION PROCESSES WITH APPLICATIONS TO NONLINEAR FILTERING THEORY AND DETECTION THEORY

4. DESCRIPTIVE HOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)

Technical Report

S. AUTHOR(3) (Last name, first name, initial)

Duncan, T. E.

6. REPORT DATE May 1967	70. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	78. NO. OF REPS
AFOSR AF49(638)-1517 ONR Nopr-225-83) NR 373 360 e.	SU-SEL-67-035 Technical Report No. 7001-4/7050-12	
	95. OTHER REPORT MO(8) (Any other numbers that may be essigned this report)	

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES

Qualified requesters may obtain copies from DDC. Foreign announcement and dissemination are limited.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY

Office of Naval Research U.S. Air Force

13. ABSTRACT

Some problems in the filtering and the detection of diffusion processes that are solutions of stochastic differential equations are studied.

Transition densities for Markov process solutions of a large class of stochastic differential equations are shown to exist and to satisfy Kolmogorov's equations. These results extend previously known results by allowing the drift coefficient to be unbounded. With these results for transition densities the nonlinear filtering problem is discussed and the conditional probability of the state vector of the system conditioned on all the past observations is shown to exist and a stochastic equation is derived for the evolution in time of the conditional probability density. A stochastic differential equation is also obtained for the conditional moments. These derivations use directly the continuous time processes.

Necessary conditions that coincide with the previously known sufficient conditions for the absolute continuity of measures corresponding to solutions of stochastic differential equations are obtained. Applications are made to the detection of one diffusion process in another. Previous results on the relation between detection and filtering problems are rigorously obtained and extended.

Security Classification LINK A LINK B LINK C KEY WORDS ROLE WT ROLE ROLE wT STOCHASTIC CONTROL, STOCHASTIC PROCESSES DETECTION THEORY FILTERING THEORY

DD FORM 1473 (BACK)